

Court No. - 35

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 16316 of 2023

Petitioner :- Chandresh Sharma And 7 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Utkarsh Kumar Singh,Aishwarya Kumar Singh,Rajesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh

Hon'ble Vikas Budhwar,J.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed today is taken on record.
2. Heard Sri Rajesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the writ petitioner, who are eight in number, Sri Shailendra Singh, learned Standing Counsel, who appears for the respondent no.1 and Sri V
3. Heard Sri Rajesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the writ petitioner, who are eight in number, Sri Shailendra Singh, learned Standing Counsel, who appears for the respondent no.1 and Sri Vikrant Singh, learned counsel, holding brief of Sri Ran Vijay Singh, learned counsel, who appears for the respondent nos.2 and 3.
4. The case of the writ petitioners, who are eight in number are that they were engaged on adhoc capacity as Routine Grade Clerk in the respondent-University, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur. According to the writ petitioners, though they are working for a long span of time, thus they seek adjustment against the regular cadre and also grant of A.C.P. in this regard.
5. The writ petitioners claim to have represented their cause before the respondent-University, but no action whatsoever has been taken.

6. Sri Vikrant Singh, learned counsel, holding brief of Sri Ran Vijay Singh, learned counsel, who appears for the respondent nos.2 and 3 submits that the issues, which the writ petitioners seek to raise are to be adjudicated at the first instance by the third respondent, The Registrar, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur, District - Jaunpur, who shall address to the claim of the writ petitioner provided the writ petitioners represent their cause before it. He does not propose to file any response to the writ petition.

7. To such a submission, learned counsel for the writ petitioners has no objection and he gracefully accepts the same.

8. Considering the submission of the rival parties as well as the stand taken by them, the writ petition is being disposed off, granting liberty to the writ petitioner to prefer a comprehensive representation before the third respondent, who shall on the receipt of the same, thereafter proceed to decide the entitlement of the writ petitioner within a period of three months from the date of the production of the certified copy of the order, bearing in mind the entitlement of the writ petitioner and the applicability of the scheme relating to A.C.P. in that regard.

9. Needless to point out that this Court has not adjudicated upon the merits of the matter.

10. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands ***disposed off.***

Order Date :- 13.10.2023

S Rawat