

Court No. - 74

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 40684 of 2023

Applicant :- Raj Kashayap

Opposite Party :- State of U.P.

Counsel for Applicant :- Ankit Srivastava, Surya Bhan Yadav

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Vivek Varma, J.

1. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The instant bail application, under Section 439 Cr.P.C., has been filed with a prayer to enlarge the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 465 of 2023, under Sections 411, 413, 414, 420, 467, 468, 471 I.P.C., Police Station- Izzat Nagar, District- Bareilly during pendency of the trial.
3. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The alleged motorcycle was driven by co-accused Gaurav. The applicant was sitting on the said motorcycle. Recovery of 20 mobile phones has also been shown from the applicant. The said recovery is false and planted. The applicant has no concern with the co-accused Gaurav. There is no independent witness to the alleged recovery. The applicant has no criminal history to his credit. The applicant is in jail since 27.7.2023. In case he is enlarged on bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.
4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.
5. Having heard counsel for the parties and having perused the record, this Court prima facie finds that there is no independent witness to the alleged recovery. At this stage, there is no substantive evidence against the applicant. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. Further the applicant has presently remained confined for more than two months and there is no hope of early conclusion of trial, more so when no reasonable apprehension has been brought to the fore by the State that the applicant, if enlarged on bail, would either tamper with the evidence or intimidate the witness, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

6. Let the applicant, **Raj Kashayap** involved in the aforesaid case be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned on the following conditions that:

- i. the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;
- ii. the applicant shall not pressurize the prosecution witness;
- iii. the applicant shall appear on each and every date fixed by the trial court.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

Order Date :- 12.10.2023
S.S.