Court No. - 91

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 34693 of 2023

Applicant :- Raju Verma
Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another
Counsel for Applicant :- Rakesh Kumar Gupta
Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Gajendra Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for applicant and the learned A.G.A. for State.

2. This application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the applicants to quash the entire proceedings of charge sheet dated 10.10.2021 and cognizance order dated 22.12.2021 in Case No.45 of 2022, arising out of Case Crime No.532 of 2021, under Sections 452, 354-Ka, 386 IPC, Police Station Medical College, District Meerut.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for applicants submits that on the false and frivolous allegation, charge sheet has been filed by the opposite party no.2 against the applicant. Further submission is that no such incident took place as narrated in the F.I.R.. Further submission is that no delivery of money has been made in this case so offence under Section 386 IPC is not made out. Further submission is that initiation and continuation of the entire proceeding is nothing but an abuse of process of Court.

4. Per contra, learned AGA has submitted that from the perusal of the allegations made in the FIR and the material collected during the course of investigation, prima facie offence is clearly made out against the applicant and as such, entire proceedings cannot be quashed.

5. From the perusal of material on record and looking into the facts of the case at this stage it cannot be said that no offence is made out against the applicant. All the submissions made at the bar relates to the disputed question of fact, which cannot be adjudicated upon by this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. At this stage only prima facie case is to be seen in the light of the law laid down by Supreme Court in cases of ***R.P. Kapur Vs. State of Punjab, A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 866, State of Haryana Vs. Bhajan Lal,***

1992 SCC (Cr.) 426, State of Bihar Vs. P.P.Sharma, 1992 SCC (Cr.) 192 and lastly Zandu Pharmaceutical Works Ltd. Vs. Mohd. Saraful Haq and another (Para-10) 2005 SCC (Cr.) 283. The disputed defence of the accused cannot be considered at this stage. Moreover, the applicant has got a right of discharge under Section 239, 245(2) or 227/228 Cr.P.C. as the case may be, before the court below and he is free to take all the submissions in the said discharge application before the trial court.

6. The prayer for quashing the proceedings of case as well as charge sheet is refused.

7. At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant submit that applicant may be permitted to move discharge application before the court concerned.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the applicant will move a proper application for discharge through counsel within thirty days from today and he is free to take all the submissions in the said discharge application before the Trial Court, which shall be considered and disposed of by the Trial Court in accordance with law within a period of two months. For a period of two months from today, no coercive action shall be taken against the applicant.

9. Accordingly, the present application is **disposed of.**

Order Date :- 21.11.2023
Sanjeet

Digitally signed by :-
SANJEET KUMAR YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad