

Court No. - 52

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 31595 of 2022

Petitioner :- Irshad Ahmad

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ajay Kumar Kashyap, Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, Pratima Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Tarun Agrawal

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava, J.

1. Heard Sri Ajay Kumar Kashyap, learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.
2. Present writ petition has been filed seeking a direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents no. 2 and 3 to decide application dated 06.05.2022 (Annexure-1 to the writ petition) expeditiously.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that grievance of petitioner would sufficiently be met in case, the aforesaid application is considered and decided in a time bound manner.
4. However, at this stage learned Standing Counsel suggested that in case a fresh representation for ventilating the grievance as raised through the present petitioner is preferred by the petitioner, the same would be much and more useful for the purpose for which the present petition has been instituted.
5. In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner is hereby requested to advise his client to prefer a fresh application before respondents no. 2 and 3 and in case such a representation is preferred within one month from today, the same shall be decided by respondents no. 2 and 3 as expeditiously as possible preferable within three months from the date of filing of representation.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Order Date :- 9.11.2023

P.Sri.