

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 31379 of 2023

Petitioner :- Lal Bahadur Yadav

Respondent :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Udit Chandra

Hon'ble Siddhartha Varma, J.

Hon'ble Manoj Bajaj, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned Standing Counsel and Sri Nitesh Patel, Advocate holding brief of Sri Udit Chandra, learned counsel for the Electricity Department.
2. Instructions filed today by learned counsel for the Electricity Department be kept on record.
3. The petitioner has approached this Court against a provisional assessment notice dated 27.4.2023. In the notice itself, there was a provision that the petitioner had to approach the respondent-Electricity Department to reply within the next 15 days of the receipt of the notice.
4. Learned counsel for the Electricity Department has informed that the final assessment order has yet not been passed and, therefore, the petitioner can always approach the respondents and give response to the notice.
5. Under such circumstances, we refrain from interfering with the notice impugned in the instant writ petition. However, it is provided that if the petitioner replies to the notice within the next 15 days, the same shall be considered and an appropriate order

shall be passed.

6. With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Order Date :- 17.10.2023

GS

(Manoj Bajaj, J.)

(Siddhartha Varma, J.)