

Court No. - 48

Case :- WRIT - B No. - 3125 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rajendra Kumar Alias Raju And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sarita Dwivedi, Puran Nath Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Saurabh Shyam Shamsbery, J.

1. According to office report, service upon respondents could be considered sufficient since neither AD nor undelivered cover was received back as well as compliance affidavit of dasti service has also been filed.
2. Heard Sri P.N. Shukla, learned counsel for petitioners.
3. In the present case, concurrent findings returned by Consolidation Officer as well as Settlement Officer of Consolidation in regard to validity of will deed has been upset by Revisional Authority in a very light manner without considering its factual and legal aspect.
4. In view of above and since no one has entered appearance on behalf of respondents, therefore, there is no objection to aforesaid submission. Accordingly, impugned order dated 24.07.2023 is set aside and matter is remitted back to Deputy Director of Consolidation for fresh consideration.
5. Aforesaid exercise shall be concluded within a period of six months from today.
6. Writ petition stands partly **allowed**.

Order Date :- 27.10.2023

Nirmal Sinha