

Court No. - 10

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 30306 of 2023

Petitioner :- Kamal Hasan

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Brijesh Kumar Pandey, Amit Kumar Shrivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C

Hon'ble Kshitij Shailendra, J.

1. Heard Sri Brijesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents no.1, 2 and 3.
2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that though he has validly passed 10+2 examinations, some person is continuously moving applications before the authorities stating that the certificate issued in favour of the petitioner is fabricated.
3. This petition has been filed with a prayer that petitioner's application dated 25.07.2023 addressed to the Board be directed to be decided.
4. The entire frame of the writ petition as well as prayer made therein is thoroughly misconceived.
5. Neither the name of the complainant has been disclosed in the representation nor does this Court find any justification to issue any direction as sought for as the entire case is merely based upon presumption that the petitioner's certificate would be declared as fabricated by any authority.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of pendency of complaint moved by the complainant, he is facing certain difficulties.
7. Be that as it may, writ jurisdiction has been wrongly invoked.
8. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the writ petition.
9. Learned Standing Counsel has no objection to the prayer made.

10. The writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**.

11. It is made clear that **no liberty has been granted** to the petitioner to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action.

Order Date :- 24.11.2023

AKShukla/-