

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 29234 of 2023

Petitioner :- Brajendra Yadav

Respondent :- The High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Narendra Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ashok Kumar Tiwari, Anurag Dubey, Ashish Mishra

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar, J.

1. Heard Sri Narendra Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri F.A. Ansari, learned standing counsel for State respondents, Sri Ashok Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel for respondent no.4 and Sri Anurag Dubey, learned counsel for respondent nos.5 & 6.

2. By means of present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a direction in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondent nos.2 and 3 to take necessary action against the respondent no.6 and 7 and permit the petitioner to sit in Chamber No.2, Block-C, Civil Compound, Mainpuri pursuant to the allotment order dated 23.03.2023 passed by respondent no.2 i.e. District Judge, Mainpuri.

3. Sri F.A. Ansari, learned standing counsel has placed detailed instruction send by the Officer-in-charge Litigation/Special Judge Exclusive POCSO Act, Mainpuri which is taken on record. He submits that regarding the dispute in question the learned District Judge has already constituted a committee to resolve the matter vide order dated 07.04.2023 and the matter is seized before the committee, which was constituted in response to the order of District Judge, Mainpuri. He submits that it would take sometime and the matter may be relegated to the District Judge, Mainpur to take a final call.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances, as the learned District Judge has already constituted a high power committee and the matter is seized before the committee, we are not inclined to entertain the writ petition. Needless to say parties must place their claim before the committee, which shall be considered by the committee as per law.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Order Date :- 16.10.2023

S.P.