

Court No. - 52

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 28481 of 2023

Petitioner :- Moolchand

Respondent :- Sub Divisional Officer And 8 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Nath Mishra, Nitya Nand Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Sudhir Bharti

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava, J.

1. Heard Sri Nagendra Nath Mishra, learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. Present writ petition has been filed seeking a direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no. 1 to decide Review Application preferred by respondent no. 2 in Case No. 480 of 2013, Computerized Case No. T2013053131957 (Moolchand and others Vs. Parag and others), expeditiously.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that grievance of petitioner would sufficiently be met in case, the aforesaid matter is considered and decided in a time bound manner.

4. Learned Standing Counsel submits that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending and an appropriate direction may be issued to respondent no. 1 to consider the grievance of the petitioner.

5. Be that as it may, without entering into the merits of the case as well as if there is no other legal impediment available, the respondent no. 1 is hereby directed to consider and decide the Review Application preferred by respondent no. 2 in Case No. 480 of 2013, Computerized Case No. T2013053131957 (Moolchand and others Vs. Parag and others), as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four months from the date a certified copy of this order is produced before him after affording proper opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Order Date :- 16.11.2023

P.Sri.