

**Court No. - 6**

**Case :-** WRIT - C No. - 28825 of 2023

**Petitioner :-** Arti

**Respondent :-** Union Of India And 3 Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** Satish Kumar Yadav

**Counsel for Respondent :-** A.S.G.I.,Manu Vardhana,Pranjal Mehrotra

**Hon'ble Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan,J.**

The petitioner has preferred the instant writ petition challenging an order dated 26.11.2022 issued by General Manager, Cholamandalam Investment and Finance Company Limited, Dare House 2, N.S.C. Bose Road, Parrys Chennai, which is a private company.

Heard Sri Satish Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the Union of India, Sri Ashish Kumar Gupta, learned Advocate holding brief of Sri Pranjal Mehrotra, learned counsel for the second respondent and perused the record.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent submits that the respondent no. 2 is a private company and is not State within the meaning of State under Article 12 of the Constitution of India, therefore, the present writ petition is not maintainable. In support of his submissions learned counsel for the second respondent has placed reliance on the judgements of Division Benches of this Court in the cases of **Mohd. Firoz Khan v. U.P. Transport Commissioner and 3 others, Writ-C No. 14463 of 2021** and **Kailashi Devi v. Branch Manager and another, Writ-C No. 18999 of 2020 : Neutral Citation No. 2020:AHC:105980-DB.**

In view of the above, I find that the present petition is not

maintainable. It is dismissed accordingly. Dismissal of this petition will not cause any prejudice to the petitioner during the course of availing remedy available to him under law.

No order as to costs.

**Order Date :- 7.11.2023**  
DS