

Court No. - 35

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 13286 of 2023

Petitioner :- Meenu Sharma

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Divyanshu Nandan Tripathi, Utkarsh Khanna

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Avneesh Tripathi, Prabhakar Awasthi

Hon'ble Vikas Budhwar, J.

1. Heard Shri Divyanshu Nandan Tripathi and Utkarsh Khanna, learned counsel for the writ petitioner, learned Standing Counsel as well as the counsel for the University appearing for the sixth respondent.

2. Principally, the challenge raised in the writ petition is an order dated 16.06.2023 passed by the Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut whereby on an exercise undertaken the sixth respondent has been making senior to the writ petitioners. Learned counsel for the writ petitioners submits that though he has armed with judgment while supporting his case, however, he submits that since there exists an alternative remedy of a reference put a chancellor under Section 68 of the U.P. Universities Act, 1973, thus, according to him, the writ petitioner be permitted to take recourse to the said remedy.

3. To such a submission, learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

4. Considering the submission of the rival parties as well as stand taken by them, the writ petition is being **disposed of** granting liberty to the writ petitioner to take recourse, the provisions under Section 68 of the U.P. Universities Act, 1973 and in case such a reference is being preferred then this Court has no reasons to disbelieve that the same will be decided with most expedition in a reasonable period, as according to the writ petitioner, the sixth respondent is getting undue benefits by virtue of the order dated 16.03.2023 of the University.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 19.10.2023/A. Prajapati