

Court No. - 49

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 26312 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mukesh Yadav

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Alkesh Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Syed Qamar Hasan Rizvi,J.

1. Heard Sri Alkesh Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Dinesh Kumar Verma, learned standing counsel for the State-respondent nos. 1 to 3.
2. By means of the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Tahsildar, Tehsil - Kurawali, District Mainpuri (respondent no. 3) to decide the Mutation Case No. 955 of 2018 (Mukesh Yadav Vs. Kanti Devi), Case No. 1030 of 2019 (Mukesh Yadav Vs. Kanti Devi) and 2722 of 2022 (Mukesh Yadav Vs. Ramesh Babu).
3. This Court vide order dated 4.10.2023 granted time to the learned standing counsel to seek instructions in the matter.
4. Sri Dinesh Kumar Verma, learned standing counsel submits that he has received instructions dated 17.10.2023 from the Sub Divisional Officer, Tehsil Kurawali, District Mainpuri.
5. On the basis of aforesaid written instructions, learned standing counsel submits that all the three cases namely Case No. 955 of 2018 (Mukesh Yadav Vs. Kanti Devi) has been decided vide order dated 12.10.2023, Case No. 2722 of 2022 (Mukesh Yadav Vs. Ramesh Babu) has been decided vide order dated 05.10.2023 and Case No. 1030 of 2019 (Mukesh Yadav Vs. Kanti Devi) has been decided vide order dated 07.10.2023.
6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not dispute the above noted statement made by the learned standing counsel. However, he submits that the copy of the said orders have not been received by him till date.
7. Taking into consideration the aforesaid statement made by learned standing counsel, this Court is of the view that nothing is left for adjudication in the present writ petition and the writ petition has lost its efficacy.
8. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

Order Date :- 19.10.2023

Arif