

Court No. - 33

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 11933 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smt. Indira Devi Singh

Respondent :- Managing Director, Uttar Pradesh Jal Nigam and others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rajendra Pratap Yadav, Subodh Kumar Rai

Counsel for Respondent :- Prateek Rai, Navin Kumar Giri, Prateek Rai, Siddharth Singhal

Hon'ble J.J. Munir, J.

A short counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 by Mr. Prateek Rai, Advocate. It is taken on record. Let it be numbered by the office.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that all dues on account of post-retiral benefits have been paid to the petitioner, except revised emoluments of post-retiral benefits in terms of the Sixth Pay Commission.

Learned Counsel for the respondents submits that the issue of Sixth Pay Commission is *sub judice* before the Supreme Court.

In this view of the matter, no further orders are required to be passed on this petition.

This petition stands **disposed of** with the liberty to the petitioner to institute a fresh petition, if and when the issue relating to arrears under the Sixth Pay Commission is decided.

Order Date :- 16.11.2023

I. Batabyal