

Court No. - 69

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 19950 of 2023

Applicant :- Omkant And 8 Others

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Sanjay Mishra

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Samit Gopal,J.

1. List revised.
2. Heard Sri Sanjay Mishra, learned counsel for the applicants, Sri Ajay Singh, learned A.G.A-1 for the State and perused the records.
3. The present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the applicants Omkant, Suresh Prajapati, Smt. Urmila Devi, Subhash, Km. Sarita, Raj Kumar, Smt. Madhu Devi, Hemlata and Rajkumari with the prayer to allow the present application and quash the proceeding of Criminal Case 4982 of 2021, (State Vs. Subhash Chandra and others), arising out of case crime no.580 of 2020, under Sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506 IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act, Police Station Kotwali, District Etawah as well as cognizance order dated 16.9.2021 in charge sheet no.212 of 2021 dated 14.6.2021 pending in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Etawah and with the further prayer to stay the further proceedings of aforesaid case, during the pendency of present application.
4. The matter was referred to the Mediation Centre of this Court vide order dated 26.5.2023 for making an effort between the parties for settling their disputes amicably.
5. As per the office report dated 27.9.2023, a report from the Mediation Centre of this Court is on record which states that mediation between the parties is successful.
6. As per report of Mediation Centre dated 15.9.2023, the parties have entered into an interim settlement dated 18.8.2023 and have amicably settled their dispute and further agreed to withdraw the cases going on between them.
7. From perusal of the report of Mediation Centre of this Court, it appears that in pursuance of the said order the mediation proceedings were taken up which ended in a settlement dated 15.9.2023 between the parties and the Mediation succeeded. The

parties have settled their grievances and even the dispute arising in the present matter. The parties have decided to reunite and live together as husband and wife and also agreed to withdraw the cases going on between them, the said fact is mentioned in para 7(f) of the said mediation report.

8. The law with regards to quashing of a case on the basis of settlement arrived between the parties, is well settled. The Apex Court in the cases of **(1) B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana and another: (2003)4 SCC 675; (2) Nikhil Merchant Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation : (2008) 9 SCC 677; (3) Manoj Sharma Vs. State and others: (2008) 16 SCC 1; (4) Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab: (2012) 10 SCC 303; (5) Shaifullah and others Vs. State of U.P. And another: 2013 (83) ACC 278 and (6) Parbatbhai Ahir@Parbatbhai @ Bhimsinbhai Karmur and others Vs. State of Gujarat and another: (2017) 9 SCC 641** has held that the cases in which the parties have settled their grievances can be quashed.

9. From perusal of the records and the law laid down by the Apex Court on the subject matter, the present case is a good case for exercising powers by this Court to quash the proceedings as prayed for by the applicant(s).

10. The present application is **allowed**.

11. The entire proceedings of the aforesaid case, Charge Sheet as well as cognizance order passed in the aforesaid case are hereby quashed.

(Samit Gopal, J.)

Order Date :- 26.10.2023
Gaurav Kuls