

Court No. - 68

**Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL CANCELLATION
APPLICATION No. - 187 of 2023**

Applicant :- Varish

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Jay Prakash Yadav

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A., Pandey Balkrishna

Hon'ble Dr. Gautam Chowdhary, J.

1. Heard Sri Jay Prakash Yadav, learned counsel for the informant-applicant, Sri Pandey Balkrishna, learned counsel for O.P. No. 2 and learned A.G.A. for the State,

2. The instant bail cancellation application has been moved on behalf of the applicant for cancelling the bail granted to the accused-opposite party no.2 in Case Crime No.10 of 2022 under Sections 323, 498, 304-B I.P.C. and section 3/4 D.P. Act, P.S. Dadri, District Gautambudh Nagar.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant-informant submits that the accused-opposite party no.2 was granted bail subject to the conditions contained in the bail order, however, the accused-opposite party no.2 after released from jail started making pressure and giving threatening to the applicant. In this regard, the applicant has sent a letter 06.02.2023 to the Police Commissioner, Gautambudh Nagar.

4. Learned A.G.A. submits that the informant has a remedy available under the Witness Protection Scheme Scheme, 2018 and thus the instant bail cancellation application may be disposed of in the light of protection granted to the witnesses under the Witness Protection Scheme, 2018.

5. In view of the submissions made by learned A.G.A., this Court is of the opinion, that the applicant has remedy available to him under Witness Protection Scheme, 2018, which scheme has been approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Mahender Chawla and others Vs. Union of India reported in (2019) 14 SCC 615.**

Order Date :- 17.10.2023/RPD