

Court No. - 69

Case :- CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 2375 of 2001

Revisionist :- Rakesh Malik

Opposite Party :- Judge Family Court

Counsel for Revisionist :- Praneet Sharma,A.K. Gupta,R.K. Gupta

Counsel for Opposite Party :- Govt. Advocate,Amit Singh,J.P. Pandey,R.D.Khare

Hon'ble Samit Gopal,J.

1. List revised. No one appears on behalf of the revisionist to press this revision despite the fact that there are three learned counsels appearing on behalf of the revisionist. Sri B.B. Upadhyay, learned counsel for the State is present. Sri Amit Singh, Sri J.P. Pandey and Sri R.D.Khare, learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 is also not present even when the matter has been taken up in the revised list.
2. The present criminal revision has been filed by the revisionist with the prayer to quash the judgement and order dated 2.8.2001 passed by the Judge, Family Court, Jhansi in Case No.40 of 2000 filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. by the opposite party no.2 and further to stay the operation and effect of the order dated 2.8.2001 passed by the Judge, Family Court, Jhansi in Case No.40 of 2000 during the pendency of present revision before this Hon'ble Court.
3. The records show that the trial court had ordered granting maintenance of Rs.1,800/- per month to the opposite party no.2. The said judgement and order has been perused by the Court with the assistance of learned counsel for the State. The trial court has addressed the issue in detail. The said judgement and order is having no irregularity or illegality and is in consonance with the directions of the Apex Court in the case of **Rajnish Vs. Neha : 2021 (2) SCC 324.**
4. In view of the above, no ground for interference is made out. The present criminal revision lacks merit and is, accordingly **dismissed.**

(Samit Gopal, J.)

Order Date :- 12.12.2023

Gaurav Kuls