

Court No. - 69

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 11919 of 2023

Applicant :- Surajpal@ Seti @ Suraj Kumar And 8 Others

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Geetam Singh

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Samit Gopal,J.

1. List revised.
2. Heard Sri Geetam Singh, learned counsel for the applicants, Sri Ajay Singh, learned A.G.A-1 for the State and perused the records.
3. The present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the applicants with the prayer to allow the present application and quash the charge sheet dated 31.1.2023 and entire proceeding of Case No.510 of 2023, under Section 498-A, 323, 504 IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act, arising out of case crime no.01 of 2023, P.S. Mahila Thana, District Kasganj and cognizance order dated 4.3.2023 passed by A.C.J.M. Kasganj pending in the court of Addl. Civil Judge (J.D.)/J.M/F.T.C. Crime against Women, Kasganj and with the further prayer to stay the further proceedings of aforesaid case, during the pendency of present application.
4. The matter was referred to the Mediation Centre of this Court vide order dated 7.4.2023 for making an effort between the parties for settling their disputes amicably.
5. As per the office report dated 26.10.2023, a report from the Mediation Centre of this Court is on record.
6. As per report of Mediation Centre dated 27.9.2023, the parties have entered into an interim settlement dated 25.8.2023 and have amicably settled their dispute and further agreed to withdraw the cases going on between them.
7. From perusal of the report of Mediation Centre of this Court, it appears that in pursuance of the said order the mediation proceedings were taken up which ended in a settlement dated 27.9.2023 between the parties and the Mediation succeeded. The parties have settled their grievances and even the dispute arising in

the present matter. The parties have decided to reunite and live together as husband and wife and also agreed to withdraw the cases going on between them, the said fact is mentioned in para 7(f) of the said mediation report.

8. The law with regards to quashing of a case on the basis of settlement arrived between the parties, is well settled. The Apex Court in the cases of **(1) B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana and another: (2003)4 SCC 675; (2) Nikhil Merchant Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation : (2008) 9 SCC 677; (3) Manoj Sharma Vs. State and others: (2008) 16 SCC 1; (4) Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab: (2012) 10 SCC 303; (5) Shaifullah and others Vs. State of U.P. And another: 2013 (83) ACC 278 and (6) Parbatbhai Ahir@Parbatbhai @ Bhimsinbhai Karmur and others Vs. State of Gujarat and another: (2017) 9 SCC 641** has held that the cases in which the parties have settled their grievances can be quashed.

9. From perusal of the records and the law laid down by the Apex Court on the subject matter, the present case is a good case for exercising powers by this Court to quash the proceedings as prayed for by the applicant(s).

10. The present application is **allowed**.

11. The entire proceedings of the aforesaid case, Charge Sheet as well as cognizance order passed in the aforesaid case are hereby quashed.

(Samit Gopal, J.)

Order Date :- 26.10.2023

Gaurav Kuls