

Court No. - 10

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 32094 of 1997

Petitioner :- Anup Kumar Singh

Respondent :- State of U.P. and Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinay Kumar Srivastava, Shashi Prakash Rai

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., A.C. Misra, A.K. Singh

Hon'ble Kshitij Shailendra, J.

1. Heard Shri Vinay Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for respondent nos.1 and 3, Shri Shashi Prakash Rai, learned counsel representing respondent no.2 and perused the record.

2. By means of this writ petition, prayer for regularization of services of the petitioner has been made.

3. Taking into consideration an order dated 24.12.2010, regularizing the services of the petitioner, following order was passed on 20.09.2023:-

"1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. By means of this writ petition, a direction for regularization of the services of the petitioner has been sought.

3. The writ petition was filed in the year 1997.

4. Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the petitioner is on record along with which an order dated 24.12.2010 has been annexed which shows that services of the petitioner have been regularized but in temporary capacity. Prima facie, order appears to contain contradictory facts.

5. In any case, learned counsel for respondent no. 2 seeks time to obtain instructions with regard to the aforesaid document.

6. List on 17.10.2023 amongst top ten cases. "

4. Today, Shri Shashi Prakash Rai has placed before the Court instructions and 'paragraph 3' of the same reproduces the contents of letter dated 24.12.2010.

5. The instructions are taken on record.

6. In view of the relief already granted by the Department to the petitioner, no further adjudication is required.

7. The writ petition is ***disposed off*** with the observation that the respondents shall obey their decision dated 24.12.2010.

Order Date :- 17.10.2023

Jyotsana