

Court No. - 91

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 6109 of 2021

Applicant :- Naveen Jaiswal

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Gopal Das Srivastava, Dharendra Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A., Anil Kumar Tiwari

Hon'ble Gajendra Kumar, J.

Heard learned counsel for applicant, learned counsel for opposite party no. 2 and the learned A.G.A.

This application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed for quashing the entire Criminal proceeding of S.S.T. No. 589 of 2020 (State vs. Naveen Jaiswal & others), arising out of Case Crime No. 74 of 2020, under Sections 370, 372, 373, 34 IPC and Section 3 (1) (s) of SC/ST Act and 3/4/5/6/9 of Immoral Traffic Prevention Act, Police Station Lalpur Pandeypur, District Varanasi, pending in the court of Special/Exclusive Judge (SC/ST Act), Varanasi.

Learned counsel for both the parties have made joint statement that both the parties have settled their dispute and a compromise deed have been filed, but the same is not on record.

In view of the above, office is directed to trace out the same and place it on record.

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicant and the opposite party no. 2 have reconciled their differences and a compromise has been entered between them, and they want to file the said compromise before the lower court concerned. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings before the court below and the same is not only sheer wastage of time of the Court but also abuse of process of law.

Learned AGA, however, submits that it is the concerned court below, which has to verify the fact as to whether the parties have entered into compromise, hence the applicants may approach the concerned court below and move an application along with copy of compromise between the parties, which will be decided in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the applicants and the opposite party no. 2 are directed to appear before the court below along with compromise and an application for verification of compromise deed so filed as well as a certified copy of this order within four weeks from today. It is expected that the trial court may fix a date for the verification of the compromise and after ensuring their

presence, pass an appropriate order with respect to the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from today. While passing the order verifying the compromise, the concerned court shall also record the statement of the applicants and the opposite party no. 2 as to whether all the terms and conditions mentioned in the original compromise deed, so filed, have been fulfilled or not.

The court in that scenario will allow the applicants and the opposite party no. 2 to obtain certified copy of the report as well as compromise and it will be open to the applicants to approach this Court again for quashing of the proceedings.

For a period of two months, no coercive action shall be taken against the applicants in the aforesaid case.

With the aforesaid directions, this application is finally **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 1.11.2023

v.k.updh.