

Sl. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			C482 No.2455 of 2023 <u>Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.</u> 1. Mr. Sumit Bajaj, learned counsel for the applicants. 2. Mr. Saurabh Pandey, learned Brief Holder assisted by Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Mr. Nalin Saun, learned counsel for respondent no.2. 4. The instant application preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. along with the compounding application, which is also supported with the affidavits of each of the applicants and the respondent no.2. 5. The present applicants are facing trial in Criminal Case No. 5961 of 2020, arising out of FIR No.0151 of 2020, for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 504, 506 and 498A IPC, which is pending in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Haldwani, District Nainital. 6. The applicant no.1 Sanjay Bisht married with the respondent no.2 on 05.06.2013, and their son was born in the year 2014, due to this wedlock. Applicant nos. 2 and 3 are the parents of the applicant no.1, and as such the in-laws of the respondent no.2. 7. It is contended in the compounding application that due to some misunderstanding, the FIR was lodged, in which, after investigation, the

			chargesheet was filed. 8. It is also contended in the compounding application that now at present, the applicant no.1 and the respondent no.2 are living together with their family and there is no dispute, and they are living happily. 9. The applicant nos. 2 and 3, who are the parents of the applicant no.1 are also happy with the amicable settlement entered between the applicant no.1 and the respondent no.2, and this Court also interacted with each of them, including the applicant nos. 2 and 3, the in-laws of the respondent no.2, and they submit that there is no dispute and they are very happy that the applicant no.1 and the respondent no.2 are living together, which is voluntarily accepted by the in-laws of the respondent no. 2. It also gives a very good message to the society. 10. Per Contra, learned State Counsel submits that offence punishable under Section 506 is not compoundable, however, he submits that the allegations, as appear, are nothing but matrimonial in nature, and as the respondent no.2 is now residing with her husband, the applicant no.1, along with their son, therefore, this compounding application can be allowed. 11. After hearing the arguments of learned counsel for the parties and the grounds, as taken in the compounding application, and further after taking into consideration the arguments, as advanced by learned State Counsel, this Court is of the view that the
--	--	--	--

			compounding application deserves to be allowed. 12. The compounding application is allowed. 13. The proceedings of Criminal Case No. 5961 of 2020, arising out of FIR No.0151 of 2020, for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 504, 506 and 498A IPC, which is pending in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Haldwani, District Nainital, are quashed. 14. Accordingly, the C-482 application stands disposed of. (Rakesh Thapliyal, J.) 28.12.2023 Reena
--	--	--	---

--	--	--	--