



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 20.11.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023

Banu @ Rammeeja Banu

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Chennai – 9.

2. The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City, Trichy.

3. The Superintendent,
Special Prison for Women,
Trichy.

4. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Cantonment, Trichy City.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, by calling for the entire records pertaining to the order of detention passed by the 2nd respondent vide his proceedings C.No.45/Detention/C.P.O/T.C/2023 dated 17.05.2023 and quash the same and consequently set the detenue Banu @ Rammeeja Banu, W/o. Muthu, female, aged 50 years, presently confined at Special Prison for Women, Trichy at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Pitchai Muthu

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar

Additional Public Prosecutor



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

When the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity] was taken up, this Court was informed that co-accused in the ground case was also clamped with a preventive detention order akin to the impugned preventive detention order. It was further submitted that the preventive detention order clamped on the co-accused was assailed in this Court vide HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023 and the same was allowed by this Court in and by order dated 30.10.2023 and a scanned reproduction of the said order is as follows:



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.10.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL
H.C.P.(MD)No.889 of 2023

Abinaya

Vs.

: Petitioner

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By the Principal Secretary to the Government,
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruchirappalli City,
Tiruchirappalli District.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Tiruchirappalli Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Conventment,
Tiruchirappalli District.
(Crime No.12 of 2023)

: Respondents

Page 1 of 10

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records pertaining to the Detention order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 17.05.2023 with reference No.C.No.44/Detention/C.P.O/T.C/2023 and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's husband Prabin Christal Raj, Son of Packiaraj, aged 41 years, now confined in the Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Jesus Moris Ravi

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

When the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity] was listed in the Admission Board on 19.07.2023, a Hon'ble Coordinate Division Bench made the following order in the Admission Board:

Page 2 of 10

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY




WEB COPY

HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023



2. It has now become necessary to set out a thumbnail sketch of factual matrix and we do so in the paragraphs infra.

3. Today, the captioned matter is in the Final Hearing Board.

Page 3 of 10

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023

4. Mr.P.Jesus Moris Ravi, learned counsel on record for HCP competitioner is before us on a Video Conferencing platform [VC] and Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents is before us in the physical Court. To be noted, this is a hybrid hearing.

5. Captioned HCP has been filed by the wife of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 17.05.2023 bearing reference C.No. 44/Detention/C.P.O./T.C/2023' [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of brevity and convenience]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the sponsoring authority [hereinafter 'Sponsoring Authority' for the sake of convenience and clarity] and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned preventive detention order has been made by second respondent.

6. Impugned preventive detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video

Page 4 of 10



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023

Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Sexual Offender' within the meaning of Section 2(ggg) of Act 14 of 1982.

7. There is no adverse case. The ground case which constitutes sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.12 of 2023 on the file of All Women Police Station, Cantonment registered under Section 366A of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] and Sections 5(1) r/w 6(1), 16 r/w 17 of 'the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (No.32 of 2012)' [hereinafter 'POCSO Act' for the sake of convenience and clarity] and Section 4(1), 4(2)(a), 5(1)(a) ITP Act, 1956 and Sections 9, 10 and 11 of Child Marriage Act, 2006. Considering the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix of the case.

8. In the support affidavit *qua* captioned HCP several grounds have been raised but learned Counsel for petitioner predicated his campaign

Page 5 of 10

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023

against the impugned Preventive Detention Order on the point that the detenu was arrested on 12.04.2023 but the impugned preventive detention order has been made only on 17.05.2023 resulting in live and proximate link between grounds and purpose of detention getting snapped.

9. Mr.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

10. We remind ourselves of *Sushanta Kumar Banik's* case [*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333*]. To be noted, **Banik** case arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and

Page 6 of 10

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023

WEB COPY

WEB COPY

purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

11. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334**, **Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733**, **Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110**, **N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of similar orders in HCP cases.

12. To be noted, the impugned preventive detention order is predicated on a solitary case viz., Crime No.12 of 2023 on the file of All

Page 7 of 10

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023

WEB COPY

Women Police Station, Cantonment registered under Section 366A of IPC and Sections 5(1) r/w 6(1), 16 r/w 17 of POCSO Act and Section 4(1), 4(2) (a), 5(1)(a) ITP Act, 1956 and Sections 9, 10 and 11 of Child Marriage Act, 2006 and therefore this solitary case is the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order.

13. This Bench is informed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that in the ground case, final report has been filed within the prescribed time line and the same is now on the file of Mahila Court, Trichy in Spl.S.C.No.66 of 2023. We make it clear that if the detenu seeks bail before the trial Court, the trial Court shall deal with the bail application on its own merits and in accordance with law untrammelled by this order which has been made for the limited purpose of testing the impugned preventive detention order in habeas legal drill on hand.

14. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

Page 8 of 10



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023

WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023



15. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 17.05.2023 bearing reference C.No. 44/Detention/C.P.O/T.C/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Prabin Christal Raj, male, aged 41 years, son of Packiaraj, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

vsm
Index : Yes
Neutral Citation : Yes

[M.S.,J.] & [R.S.V.,J.]
30.10.2023

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.

Page 9 of 10

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

vsm

To

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By the Principal Secretary to the Government,
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruchirappalli City,
Tiruchirappalli.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Tiruchirappalli Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli District.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Contonment,
Tiruchirappalli District.
- 5.Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.
- 6.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

H.C.P.(MD)No.889 of 2023

30.10.2023

Page 10 of 10



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023

2. There is no dispute or contestation that the point on which aforementioned HCP was allowed is available to the detainee in the case on hand also. Therefore, captioned HCP will also stand allowed on the same point with the same observation more particularly, the observation vide paragraph 13 of the earlier order in Abinaya's case.

3. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 17.05.2023 bearing reference in C.No. 45/Detention/C.P.O/T.C/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detainee Tmt. Banu @ Rammeeja Banu, aged about 50 years, wife of Thiru.Muthu, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S., J.) (R.S.V., J.)
20.11.2023

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
bala

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Special Prison for Women, Tiruchirappalli. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Chennai – 9.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City, Trichy.
3. The Superintendent,
Special Prison for Women,
Trichy.
4. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Cantonment, Trichy City.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

bala

ORDER MADE IN
HCP(MD)No.1281 of 2023
DATED : 20.11.2023