



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.10.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN

W.P. Nos.29039, 29323, 29076, 29312, 29255, 29464, 29373, 29326,
29560, 29564, 29544, 29547, 29553, 29382, 29384, 29364, 29605, 29697,
29666, 29566, 29559, 29619, 29732, 29747, 29749, 29753, 29768,
29771, 29776, 29762, 29905 & 29906 of 2023

and

W.M.P.Nos.28957, 28626, 28935, 28865, 29081, 29004, 28961, 29198,
29201, 29173, 29177, 29188, 29011, 29013, 29238, 29305, 29666, 29274,
29203, 293373, 29377 & 29529 of 2023

In W.P.No.29039 of 2023

S.Raja Desingu

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by its Secretary,
Home Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2. The Director General of Police,
Post Box No.601, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai – 600 004.

3. The Superintendent of Police,
Neethimedu, Salem – 636 002.

4. The Inspector of Police,
Vazhapady, Salem – 636 115.

...Respondents



PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to permit the members of Rashtriya Swayam Sevak Sangh (RSS) to conduct the procession (Route March) wearing their Uniform (Dark olive brown trousers, white shirt, cap, belt, black shoes) lead by a Musical Band from near Vazhappady bus stand towards Cuddalore Road to Vazhappady Bus Stand towards Cuddalore Road (Via Sadiyappa Gounder Street, Thammampatty Road, Nallathambi Gounder Street, Vathi Padiyatchi Street, Ayya Gounder Street, Paruthi mandi) on 29.10.2023 between 04.00.p.m., and 8.00.p.m., and conduct a public meeting thereof pursuant to their representation to the 2nd to 4th respondents dated 20.09.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Rajagopalan, (Senior Counsel)

For R1 : Mr. R.Shanmuga Sundaram,
(Advocate General)
Assisted by Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl. Side) and
Ms.Shakeena

For R2 to R4 : Mr. Hasan Mohammed Jinnah
State Public Prosecutor
Assisted by Mr.Udhaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and
Ms. J.R.Archana



COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

These batch of Writ Petitions seeking Mandamus filed by the representatives of *Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh* (hereinafter referred as: “RSS”).

2. Writ Petitioners are forced to come to the Court seeking Mandamus since their request to grant permission to take rally not granted. The reason for filing these Writ Petitions is, last year their request to take rally was rejected at the eleventh hour. Challenging the rejection order, they approached this Court filing Writ Petition in W.P.No.24540 of 2022 etc. batch., The learned Single Judge permitted the Organisers of RSS to conduct rally on certain conditions. The State filed a Review Application before the learned Single Judge seeking leave to review the order dated 22.09.2022 passed in W.P.No.24540 of 2022 etc., The said Review Application was dismissed on 02.11.2022. Thereafter, for non-compliance of the order. Writ Petitioners initiated contempt proceedings. In the said contempt proceedings, the order passed earlier was partly modified.



3. The modified order of the Learned Single Judge dated 22.09.2022 passed after initiation of the Court proceedings reads as below:-

“9. Therefore, this Court is inclined to grant permission to conduct procession and public meeting on 06.11.2022 on the following conditions:

i. The procession and public meetings should be conducted in a compounded premises such as Ground or Stadium. It is made clear that while proceeding to conduct procession and public meeting, the participants shall go by walk or by their respective vehicles without causing any hindrance to the general public and traffic.

ii. During the program, nobody shall either sing songs or speak ill on any individuals, any caste, religion, etc.,

iii. Those who participate in the program shall not for any reason talk or express anything in favour of organizations banned by Government of India. They should also not indulge in any act disturbing the sovereignty and integrity of our country.

iv. The program should be conducted without causing any hindrance to public or traffic.

v. The participants shall not bring any stick, lathi or weapon that may cause injury to any one.

vi. The organizer(s) shall make adequate arrangements for drinking water and proper First



Aid/Ambulance/Mobile Toilets/CCTV Cameras/Fire Fighting equipments etc., in consultation with the Police/Civic/Local Bodies as directed by the police.

vii. The organizer(s) shall keep sufficient volunteers to help the police for regulation of traffic and the participants.

viii. Only box type speakers should be used and output of the speakers should not exceed 15 watts~ad within a radius of 30 meters only. Cone Speakers should not be used at any cost.

ix. In the procession, the processionists shall not by any manner offend the sentiments of any religious, linguistics, cultural and other groups

x. An undertaking to reimburse the cost for any damage that may occur enroute to any public/private property and an undertaking to bear the compensation/replacement costs as well, if are to be awarded to any other institution/person, who may apply for the same.

xi. If there is violation of any one of the conditions imposed, the concerned police officer is at liberty to take necessary action, as per law.”

4. Aggrieved by the modification of the earlier order, the Writ Petitioners challenged the modified order through an Intra Court Appeal. It was taken up in Letters Patent Appeal Nos.6 to 50 of 2022 by the Division



Bench of this Court headed by *Justice R.Mahadevan and Justice Mohammed*

Shaffiq. After considering the right of the petitioners to conduct the rally and the power of the State to restrict the right and the scope of the contempt proceedings etc., the Division Bench answered in negative to the question whether an order passed in the writ petitions can be altered so as to modify its fulcrum in a contempt proceedings. The Division Bench of this Court, after discussing the case law at length finally concluded as follows:-

“32. Therefore, in the given factual matrix and applying the aforesaid legal proposition, we are of the view that the State authorities must act in a manner to uphold the fundamental right to freedom of speech, expression and assembly as regarded one of the most sacrosanct and inviolable rights envisaged in our Constitution. The State's approach towards citizens' right can never be adversarial in a welfare State and it must be considered for granting permission for peaceful rallies, protest, processions or meeting so as to maintain a healthy democracy where the constitution reigns supreme and the fundamental rights of citizens are placed at a lofty pedestal.

33. In the result, the order dated 04.11.2022 passed in the contempt petitions, which is under



WEB COPY



challenge in the present LPAs, is set aside, and the order dated 22.09.2022 passed in the writ petitions stand restored and would be enforceable. As the dates on which the appellants wanted to conduct the route-march, have passed, it is only appropriate that a direction be issued in this regard. Accordingly, the appellants are directed to approach the State authorities with three different dates of their choice for the purpose of holding the route-march/peaceful procession and the State authorities are directed to grant permission to the appellants on one of the chosen dates out of the three. The organization shall ensure that strict discipline is followed at their end and that there is no provocation or incitement on their part. The State on the other hand has to take adequate safety measures and make traffic arrangements to ensure that the procession and the meeting shall go on peacefully.”

5. The State through its Home Secretary went to Hon'ble Supreme Court and filed S.L.P with delay petition challenging the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court. The Hon'ble Supreme Court condoned the delay and entertained the Special Leave Petition. After hearing the State/the petitioner affirmed the order passed by this Court on 22.09.2022. The operative portion of the Order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its judgement dated 11.04.2023, for easy reference, is extracted below:-



WEB COPY



the normal flow of traffic. The procession shall occupy only one-fourth of the road.

vii. The organizer(s) shall keep sufficient volunteers to help the police for regulation of traffic and the participants.

viii. The organizer(s) of procession/rally shall be responsible for ensuring that the route permitted to them by the Police Authorities is strictly followed.

ix. Only box type speakers should be used and output of the speakers should not exceed 15 watts and within a radius of 30 meters only. Cone Speakers should not be used at any cost.

x. In the procession, the processionists shall not in any manner offend the sentiments of any religious, linguistic, cultural and other groups.

xi. An undertaking to reimburse the cost for any damage that may occur enroute to any public/private property and an undertaking to bear the compensation/replacement costs as well, if are to be awarded to any other institution/person, who may apply for the same.

xii. If there is violation of any one of the conditions imposed, the concerned police officer is at liberty to take necessary action, as per law.”



6. Thus, the order of this Court dated 22.09.2022 passed in W.P.No.24540 of 2022 as confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court had reached the finality and binds the State. It is also pertinent to note that, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India while considering the appeal preferred by the State been abreast of the attitude of the State, while considering the request of the Organization seeking permission to conduct rally, weighted the right of the individuals/Organizations *vis-a-vis* the responsibilities of the State in protecting the right conferred under Part-III of the Constitution, observed that, the main objection raised by the State before the High Court was that after the imposition of ban order on another organization, law and order problems cropped up in certain places and the same led to several cases being registered were knocked at the instances of the petitioners or its organizations.

7. The chart provided by the State Government shows that the members of the respondents organisation were the victims in many of those cases and certainly they were not the perpetrators. Therefore, while upholding the order of the learned Judge dated 22.09.2022, Special Leave petition filed by the Home Secretary was dismissed.



WEB COPY

8. The above said factual background required to extracted at length since the State without considering the application for granting permission to conduct rally, made these Writ Petitioners, to wait for nearly a month. Till filing the Writ Petition, they did not pass any order on their representations. Fearing that, the State may pass orders at the eleventh hour keeping the Organizers guessing, they have filed Writ Petitions.

9. After notice in the Writ Petitions, the State has passed individual orders rejecting the request for conducting rally. It appears that the State Administration through the Superintendent of Police of the District concern had given a questionnaire containing about 36 questions and sought for the response of the applicant within 24 hours from the receipt of the questionnaires. The applicant had provided informations which are within their exclusive knowledge and from informations gathered. After considering the informations given in response to the questionnaires, invariably all the requests been rejected stating that the response to the questionnaires are not satisfactory.



10. Mr.R.Shanmuga Sundaram, Learned Advocate General had circulated tabular column containing informations regarding the representations received from the Writ Petitioners, the proposed route for their road march, the reason for rejection. The tabulation is annexed to this judgment to be read as part and parcel of the judgment.

11. The respondent also filed memo narrating the process they undertook for considering the representations, wherein it is stated that, having rejected their requests seeking permission to conduct the route march, petitioner can only challenge the rejection order and therefore, present writ petitions for Mandamus cannot be persuaded by them any further.

12. Mr.G.Rajagopalan, Senior Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the rejection order is non-est in law. It is an act of disobedience of Supreme Court order which warrants action under Contempt of Courts Act.

13. Mr.N.L.Rajan, learned Senior Counsel, who is representing the petitioner, referring three Judges Bench Judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India headed by Justice *K.Subba Rao, J.C.Shah and S.M.Sikri in*



Dwarka Nath -vs- Income Tax Officer, Special Circle D.Ward, Kanpur

and another reported in ***AIR 1966 SC 81*** submitted that, Article 226 is couched in comprehensive phraseology to protect the right of the citizen and the Court can mould the relief and need not force the parties to make formal petition to file the Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus or any other Court challenging the rejection order, particularly, when the said rejection order was passed pending Writ Petition seeking Mandamus.

14. This Court is in total agreement with the submission made by the Mr.N.L.Rajan, Learned Senior Counsel. It is pertinent to repeat that the application seeking permission to conduct rally been received by the respondent about a month ago. Till filing of this Writ Petition, they did not pass any order. Therefore, it was specifically alleged that this is the conduct of the State keeping the applications pending till the eleventh hour and rejecting it only after Writ Petitions are filed. In the present circumstances, the rejection order been passed in the course of hearing the Writ Petition, since the rejection order is available and its merits and demerits are canvassed by the learned Counsels on either side, this Court has no hesitation or impediment to mould the prayer as deem fit and necessary.



15. Now, considering the questionnaires and the reason stated by the State Administration for rejecting the representations, it is broadly on the ground that, (i). There are Mosques and Churches in the procession route. (ii). There is a possibility of traffic congestion since there are narrow roads. At the same time, procession in the National Highways road is also shown as reason for rejection. (iii). In one of the rejection order, it is stated that, applicant has not disclosed the existence of Dravidar Kazhagam Office in the procession route. Invariably, in almost all the rejection order, the requests made by the V.C.K. Party and Thamizhar Vazhvurimai Kazhagam, to conduct meeting and procession during the said period is also shown as one of the reason for rejection. Some of the earlier untowards incidents leading to registration of criminal cases are also mentioned. The chart provided by the learned Advocate General, which annexed to the judgment, are lame reasons to say the least. The State to circumvent or defy the mandate of Hon'ble Supreme Court order to deny permission to the Organization to conduct rally in a democratic manner had listed out reasons and it only exposes the inability of the State machinery.



16. When the matter was taken up for hearing, this Court requested the learned Advocate General and learned Public Prosecutor whether there is any difficulty in granting permission in a particular place or particular date or on a particular time or on a particular route. If there is any such difficulty, same can be intimated to the Organizers to alter the date or time or place or route. But the rejection order passed subsequently clearly shows that, the State has no intention to permit this Organization to conduct the Rally on 22.10.2023 or 29.10.2023. The reasons stated in their rejection order is not pertinent to the inconvenience of the State to provide protection particular date or time but on the general reasons which will always be in existence at all point in the entire 365 days of the year. In few of the rejection orders, it is stated that, Devar Jayanthi is to conducted on 30.10.2023, therefore, mobilization of the Force to the Southern part of the State is required. Whereas, in the Writ Petitions, this Court finds the Organizers of RSS from the Southern District had sought permission to conduct Procession/meeting only on 22.10.2023, which is about 8 days earlier to the Devar Jayanthi. Hence, this reason is not appeared to be genuine or reasonable.



17. Mr.G.Karthikeyan, learned Senior Counsel draw the attention of this Court by referring the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court rendered in **Ramasamy Udayar -vs- The District Collector, Perambalur District and others** (W.A.Nos.743 & 2064 of 2019), wherein, the Division Bench of this Court, while considering the dispute between the two groups in connection with the enjoyment of a land, had observed in an unambiguous term that, *“As per Section 180-A of the District Municipalities Act 1920, roads or streets should be used as access to the people irrespective of their religion, caste or creed. Merely because one religious group is dominating in a particular locality, it cannot be a ground to prohibit from celebrating religious festivals or taking processions of other religious groups through those roads. If it is to be accepted, then a day will come when a particular religious group which is predominantly occupying the area, will not the people belonging to other religious groups even to use the roads even for movement, transportation or the normal access. Even the marriage processions and funeral processions would be prohibited/prevented which is not good for our society.”*



18. This observation need to be referred because in all the rejection orders, District Administration has quoted the existence of Mosques and Churches in the procession route. In the very same judgment, the Division Bench has declared as follows:-

“24.If there going to be any law and order problem, the police authorities have to intervene and prevent any untoward incidents and give appropriate police protection. Therefore, the case of the petitioner has to be accepted and there shall be a direction to the authorities to permit the Hindus to conduct two processions on the first and second day of the village temple festivals through all the streets and roads which have been conducted till 2015. As far as the procession on the third day of temple festival is concerned, the petitioner himself accepted that Hindus would not conduct the procession in which the turmeric water would be sprinkled.

25.The abovesaid facts of the case would reveal that all along there had been religious tolerance and the religious festivals were conducted very smoothly and religious procession were conducted without any problem through all the streets and roads of the village. If religious intolerance is going to be allowed, it is not



WEB COPY



good for a secular country. Intolerance in any form by any religious group has to be curtailed and prohibited. In this case, intolerance of a particular religious group is exhibited by objecting for the festivals which have been conducted for decades together and the procession through the streets and roads of the village are sought to be prohibited stating that the area is dominated by Muslims and therefore, there cannot be any Hindu festival or procession through the locality. India is a secular country and merely because one religious group is living in majority in a particular area, it cannot be a reason for not allowing other religious festivals or processions through that area. If the contention of the private respondent is to be accepted then it would create a situation in which minority people cannot conduct any festival or procession in most of the areas in India. If resistance is being exhibited by one religious group and it is reciprocated by the other religious groups, there would be chaos, riots, religious fights causing loss of lives and destruction of properties. Consequently, the secular character of our country will be destroyed or damaged.

26.Hence, it is hereby declared,

1.Once it has been declared by the authorities as roads or streets as per Section 180-A of the District Municipalities Act, the roads and streets which are



WEB COPY



"secular", should be used as roads by all the people irrespective of their religion, caste or creed.

2.Any procession including religious procession shall be conducted through all the roads and streets without any restriction.

3.Any procession including religious procession cannot be prohibited or curtailed merely because another religious group is residing or doing business in the area predominantly.

4.There cannot be a prohibition for any procession including religious processions through roads by the District administration or police authorities and there can be only regulation by the police or other Government authorities to see that no untoward incident occurs or any law and order problem arises.

5.Every religious group has got fundamental right to take out religious procession through all the roads without insulting the other religious sentiments and without raising any slogans against other religious groups, affecting their sentiments, public law and order.

6.Merely because there is one place of worship belonging to other religious group, the same cannot be a ground to decline/deny permission to conduct procession including religious procession of other religions to go through those roads or streets



WEB COPY



7. The presence of religious structures/places of worship cannot take away the right of other religious groups who have been enjoying all the rights including the conduct of religious procession for the past many years.”

19. The tenure of the rejection order certainly not in tune with Secular or democratic way of governance. It is neither in obedience or compliance of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dictum. By citing the existence of the structures, place of worship of other religion or office of some organizations, which do not share the same ideology of RSS, the request of RSS to conduct procession and public meeting is rejected. This order is contrary to the principle of Secularism which is the foundation of our Constitution of India.

20. Having said so, the Organizers of the rally who had sought permission for procession and meeting should give an undertaking to the District Superintendent of Police, to whom they sought for permission to conduct the rally, that they will scrupulously follow the guidelines of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and they will not deviate the guidelines and any other restrictions reasonably laid by the District Administration.



WEB COPY

21. The Respondents shall ensure peaceful procession by providing adequate bandobust. Having now aware of the route and prospective spots which required concentration and attention, it is the duty and responsibility of the District Administration to make all necessary arrangements for peaceful conduct of the rally/procession and the public meeting.

22. Accordingly, the ***Writ Petitions are Allowed***, on following terms, in addition to the guidelines laid by this Court *vide* order dated 22.09.2022 affirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P.(Civil).No.4163 of 2023 dated 11.04.2023.

i) The Superintendent of Police of the concerned District, to whom the application is made shall issue permission after having consultation with the Organizers, if necessary.

ii) The Organizers of the processions may be amenable for minor change in the route, if the District Administration feels that, it may be difficult for them to provide bandobust in a particular route. If there is any such difficulty, the District Administration can have consultation with the Organizers and provide alternative route.



WEB COPY

iii) The starting point and ending point shall not be compromised under the guise of changing the route.

(iv). The permission in writing with necessary restriction to be issued atleast three days prior to the date of rally/meeting.

Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed. No costs.

16.10.2023

Index : Yes/No.
Internet : Yes/No.
Neutral Citation : Yes/No.
sma

Copy to:-

1. The Secretary, Home Department, Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.
2. The Director General of Police, Post Box No.601, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai, Mylapore, Chennai – 600 004.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Neethimedu, Salem – 636 002.
4. The Inspector of Police, Vazhapady, Salem – 636 115.
5. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Road	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
1	West Zone WP. 29039/2023 Salem District Valapady PS (ITEM 93)	Route March: From - Near Valapady bus stand To - Valapady bus stand (Towards Cuddalur Road, Sathyappa Gounder St, Thammam patty Road, Mallathambi Gounder St, Vathiyapadayachi St, Iyyagounder St, Paruthimandi bus stand) Public Meeting New bus stand, Valapady Time 04.00 PM to 05.00 PM Approx. 4.5 Kms	20.09.2023 R2 - DGP R3 - SP, Salem (88258-82175) R4 - Inspector of Police, Valapady, (99948-98673) DSP, Valapady - Marimankari, (80981-78531)	08.10.23 10.10.23	Rejected	i) Mosque -Jama Masjid Surrounded by Muslim owned shops and Muslim residents. ii) 2 Churches Patham pathinadhar Aalayam - RC Church -CSI Church iii) Poondi Madha Mandapam iv) Traffic Congestion v) Narrow Roads vi) 1 1/4 Kms National Highways in the procession route.	Cases in Neighbouring sub-division (approx. 30 kms from Valapady) 1) Salem District, Thammampatty PS Cr.No. 158 of 1989 u/s 148, 307, 323, 185 IPC r/w 27 of TNFPD Act and 8. 174 Cr.PC. Police has opened fire which lead to death of 2 general public. 11 Police officials injured Vinayagar Status Installation: 2) Salem District, Thammampatty PS Cr.No. 335 of 2007 u/s 143, 185, 341, 295A IPC r/w 7(i)(a) of CLA Act - Filed against Muslims -200 Muslim staged road roko in connection with Vinayagar Status installation. Counter case: Cr.No. 336 of 2007 u/s 143, 185, 341, 153A of IPC - Filed against Hindus. For Damaging name board of Hotel Venkateshwara owned by Muslim: 3) Salem District, Thammampatty PS Cr.No. 337 of 2007 u/s 147, 148, 341, 188, 382, 153A, 307 r/w 120B IPC r/w 8. 3 of TNFPD. Act and 8. 7(i) (a) of CLA Act. Counter case: Salem District, Thammampatty PS Cr.No. 338 of 2007 u/s 147, 148, 341, 188, 382, 153A and 506(ii) IPC r/w 8. 7(i) (a) CLA Act. Carrying Vinayagar Mol procession without Permission by Hindu Munnani Groups.

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Road	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
2.	W.P.No 29323/23 Red Hills District, T3 Korattur PS, AVADI CITY	Route March: From- Dr. Nalli kuppasamy vivekanandha vidhyalaya school TO- Again return back to Dr. Nalli kuppasamy vivekanandha vidhyalaya school Via- 17 th st, 38 th st, North avenue, 41 st st, 38 th st, Railway station road, K.R. Nagar Main road, Janagiraman st, Lakshmana reddy st, jankukeshwarar main road (3.4 Kms)	Mr. D.Karthikeyan A/36, S/O dharman, No 10, 1 st Main Road, Thendral nagar, Pettabiram, Chennai -72	10-10-2023 & 11.10.2023	Rejected	1. Masjid-e-Noor Surrounded by Muslim owned shops and Muslim residence, 2.Residence of Suresh kumar -Former President of Hindu Munnani who was killed by Muslim terrorist 3. At Central avenue road near MGR mandram junction clothing business were going on at each side of the road. 4. Hospitals, Traffic Conjunctions And Narrow Road in procession route	T2 PS Crime No: 746/2014 U/S 341, 307, 302, 153 (A) & 120 (B), 109, 212, 109 r/w 212 IPC and u/s 16 (1) (A), 18, 19 and 20 of the unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act 1967. DO: 18.06.2014 at 21.30 hrs DR: 18.06.2014 at 23.45 hrs Gist of the case: In this case, previous enmity over communal ill-feelings forming the motive, a 17-members-gang including a juvenile, under the leadership of Abdul Aldim, on 18.06.2014 at about 21.30 hrs, accosted the deceased Sureshkumar, leader of the Hindu Munnani party for Thiruvallur district, in front of his office in MPH Road opposite to Lucky hotel, and attacked him with long knives causing multiple injuries to which he died on the way to sundaram Medical foundation.
3.	West Zone Krishnagiri Dist. WP. 29076/2023	Route March: From-Karnesh Thidal, Krishnagiri Town To KarneshThidal, Krishnagiri Town (ViaK Theatre Road, Bangalore Road, DharmarajaKovil Road, GH Road, Salem Road)	22.09.2023 2 nd Respondent- DGP, 3 rd Respondent - SP, Krishnagiri- 9445437356 4 th Respondent DSP, Krishnagiri Sub-Division - 9952935164 5 th Respondent Inspector of Police, Krishnagiri Town,	08.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	1.Mosque Madina Mosque Rasool Mosque 2.Churches CSI Church RC Church DravidKazhagam Party(PariyarMayyam), Head Office in enroute Government Maternity Hospital, Krishnagiri is present in enroute Gandhi Statue is present in en-route	Krishnagiri Town Police Station, Cr.No.336/2023 U/s. 353,505(1)(C) IPC Case was registered against Hindu Munani for preventing government officials from counting at temples. Krishnagiri Town Police Station, Cr.No.454/2023 U/s. 147,341,290 IPC Case was registered against VHP for conducting Public meeting without getting permission



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail (point-wise))	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
		Public Meeting : KarnamashThudal Time : 3.00 pm to 5.00 pm Approx: 4.5Km	6380995457			Traffic Congestion in 5 Road area Narrow Roads	KrishnagiriTaluk Police Station Cr.No.435/2023 U/s. 153,505(1)(b) IPC Case was registered against RSS for posting posters related to procession and Public meeting without getting permission.
4.	Krishnagiri District Hosur Town PS 29312 of 2023	Route March: From: Vijay Vidyalaya School To: KottaiMariyamman Temple Route: GRT Junction, Tahak Office Road, Anna Statue Junction, GH Junction, Tank St, Ram Nagar 2nd Cross, KottaiMariyamman Temple) Public Meeting: KottaiMariyamman Temple ground Time: 03.00 PM to 08.00 PM Approx . 3.8 Kms	29.09.2023 2nd Respondent - DGP 3rd Respondent - SP, Krishnagiri 4th Respondent - DSP, Hosur 5th Respondent - Inspector of Police, Hosur Town 6th Respondent - Inspector of Police, Hudco DGP, Hosur - BabuPrasanth - 9600173349	08.10.2023	Rejected	1) Presence of mosques and churches enroute and near by route. * Jamia Mosque * AhleMadineh Mosque * Immambada Mosque * St Paula Lutheran Church ii) Presence of Government Hospital enroute iii) Presence of Muslim reading area enroute (Ram Nagar) iv) Presence of narrow roads enroute v) Presence of heavy traffic places enroute vi) Hosur is a communally sensitive place because of 1990 firing incident in Denkanikottai and sharing the border with Karnataka state	Last 10 Years Cases 1) HosurTown Cr.No. 518/2012 U/s Accidental Fire 2) HosurTown Cr.No. 2161/13 U/s 147,188,341, 353 IPC 3) HosurTown Cr.No. 2162/13 U/s 147,188,341,353 IPC 4) HosurTown Cr.No. 2164/13 U/s 147,188,341,353 IPC 5) HosurTown Cr.No. 2165/13 U/s 147,188,341,353 IPC 6) Hudco PS Cr.No. 1739/13 U/s 147,188,341,353 IPC 7) Hudco PS Cr.No. 1740/13 U/s 147,188,341,353 IPC 8) Berigai PS Cr.No. 216/12 U/s 153(A) IPC 9) Berigai PS Cr.No. 215/12 U/s 147,341,353 IPC s/w 7(1) CLA Act 10) Berigai PS Cr.No. 220/12 U/s 147, 294(b), 153(A), 427 IPC

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail (point-wise))	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
						vii) Request from TVK for procession on same date	
5.	West Zone Dharmapuri District W.P. No. 29255 of 2023 Dharmapuri PS	Route March From - BSNL Office, Dharmapuri To BSNL Office, Dharmapuri. (Towards Senthil School, Vijay school, GH junction, 4 Roads, ArumugaAchary street, Chinnasamy Naidu street and BSNL Office) Public meeting: BSNL Office, Dharmapuri. Time of procession: 04.00 PM to 06.00 PM Time of public meeting: 06.30 PM to 07.30 PM Approximate distance: 3.3 Kms	21.09.2023 2nd respondent - DGP 3rd respondent - DP, Dharmapuri. 4th respondent - DSP, DPM 5th Respondent - Inspector of Police, B1PS.	07.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	1) 2 Mosques on enroute of route march 1. Abubakkar Masjid 2. Umar Masjid 2) 2 Churches 1. CSI Church 2. Sacred Heart Church. 3) Traffic congestion due to vital road for 2 kms fixed to be route for route march. 4) Narrow roads leading to 2 bus stands. 5) En-route is surrounded by the residential areas and places of worship by other religion.	1. Dharmapuri PS Cr. No. 565/2014 u/s 143, 188 IPC, Dated: 09.11.2014 against RSS 2. Dharmapuri PS Cr. No. 566/2014 u/s 151 Cr.P.C on Dated: 09.11.2014 against RSS. 3. Dharmapuri PS 191/2023 u/s 4 of TNOPFD Act 1959 on 17.04.2023 against RSS. 4. Palacode PS Cr. No. 396/2023 u/s 153 (A)(1)(2), 295, 504 & 505 (2)(3) IPC on 24.09.2023 against one Mayesh from Vellore in Hindu Munnadi/Vinayagar Idol procession. 5. Dharmapuri PS Cr. No. 577/2023 u/s 153, 505 (1)(B) IPC against unknown RSS functionary for pasting posters with hate wordings without permission.



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Km	Petition Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objectives in Detail point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
6.	Nearth Zone Kallakurichi District Chinnasalem PS WP. 29464 of 2023	Route March: From - Sri AryaVasishyamahar Salem Main Road Chinnasalem To - Gandhi Podhumedai, Chinnasalem New Bus Stand (Towards Koogaiyur Bus Stop 9 th St Vijayapuram (Moongilpadi road to Koogaiyur road way) 4 th St Vijayapuram 2 nd St Vijayapuram (Moongilpadi road to Old bus stand way) Moongilpadi road Hospital Road Mudaliyar Pillaiyar road Perumal Temple St Andhalampillai St Sivan Temple St Santhakaram St Pillai Veehu St Kadavvedhi) Public Meeting Near new bus stand Chinnasalem Time: 16.00 to 19.30 hrs (3.3 Km)	21.09.2023 1 st Respondent - DGP (Dt:03.10.23) 2 nd Respondent - SP Kallakurichi (9444463394) (Dt: 21.09.23) 3 rd Respondent - Inspector of Police Chinnasalem (94981 53946) (Dt: 21.09.23)	10.10.23 & 11.10.23	Rejected	1) Mosques Masjith Mohamed Pallivasal Surrounded by Muslim residents and shops 2) Busy Commercial Area 3) Narrow roads 4) Tamilazha - Vaazhuvizmai Party asked for the aforementioned date (29.10.23) for Procession and Demonstration. 5) 1 1/2 Kms National Highwa ys in the Procession route.	1.E.Kottai PS Cr.No. 362/2023 U/s 143, 153, 504, 505 (i) (b), 505 (ii) IPC (Placing of Vinayagar Idol without permission & criticizing VCK Party and his President of VCK by Hindu Munnani Party) 2.E.Kottai PS Cr.No. 365/2023 U/s 143, 341 IPC (20 VCK Party members have conducted road roko regarding criticizing of VCK Party members and his party President by Hindu Munnani Party) 3.Kallakurichi PS Cr.No. 732/2023 U/s 143, 341, 286 IPC (Bursting firecrackers without permission at 4 road Junction, near Jumma Mosque at Kallakurichi by Hindu Munnani Party)

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Km	Petition Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objectives in Detail point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
7.	Tambaram City Chitallapakkam PS WP 29373 of 2023	SRDF Vivekananda Vidyalaya School - Mahadevan Street - Old Hasthinapuram RD - Ayyasampalli Street - Rajendra Prasad Rd - MIT Signal - Chitallapakkam 1 st Main Rd - Jothy Ng 1 st St - varadharajan St - Kalairamar St - Chakkarapani St - Sisapathikaram St - RF Road - NBR Road - Old Hasthinapuram RD - Gajagathi Street - SRDF Vivekananda Vidyalaya School. 3.1 Kms	Tr.G.Shanmugam (@ Bada, Joint Secretary, RashtriyaSwayam sevakSangh(RSS), Tambaram.	10-10-23 & 12-10-23	Rejected	Late Reply Route Map not clear Messy Church near route. NB Women Health care hospital Surrounded by other religious people owned shop and residents Irrelevant and unclear answers Traffic Congestion Narrow Roads	NIL
8.	Avadi City M2 Madhavaram Milk Colony PS WP 29326 of 2023	Route March: From- 2nd Main road Ennservin school To-2nd Main road Public Meeting On 29.10.23 Time 15.00 hrs 20.0	23.10.23	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	Church -5 1) Good News Church God No. 1 Srinivasa Nagar, Kosapur, Chennai - 60. 2) St. Francis of Assisi Church, No. 06, Assisi Nagar, Chennai - 60. 3) Bernbach Apostolic Christian Assembly Church, K. K.Thazhai, Chennai - 51. 4) Our Lady of Good Health Church, Madhavaram	NIL



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Route	Petition Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
		Appox				Church Road, MMDA, Mathur, Chennai -68 5)ECI Church, 1 Main Road, MMDA, Mathur Mosque-3 1)Al - Magith Jimma Pelli, 3rd Main Road, MMDA, Mathur, Ch 2)Macco Mosque, Chinna Sany Nagar, Periya Mathur 3)Masjith Quba, MOR Salai, Chinna Mathur	
9.	North Zone Ranipet District, WP No. 29560/2023 Ranipet PS	Route March: From-Muthukadai Bus Stop, Ranipet. To-Muthukadai Bus Stop, Ranipet. Towards valldel street and via Gandhi Nagar, EGNL office road, Bazaar Circle, Nandipur and the procession reach at starting point Public Meeting: Muthukadai Bus Stop, Ranipet. Distance: 3 Km	25.09.2023 1st respondent- DGP 2nd respondent- SP, Ranipet (9498116666) 3rd respondent- Inspector of Police, Ranipet Circle (94981-50640)	10.10.23 & 11.10.23	Rejected	1.Partial replies for 22 Questions 2.Islamic residences and mosque & Darga located in procession route, cause L&O religious issue 3.Narrow roads. 4.Presence of Primary health care centre. 5.Road work, essential commodities selling shops, in procession route may lead to traffic congestion and hardship to public. 6.RSS poster with "Hindu Nashira" contents may lead cause deterrence to public peace. 7.Panampun. Devan Jayanthi Forecasts,	-

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Route	Petition Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
						Hardship in security arrangements. 8.VCK& TVK parties proposed & planning procession and public meeting, may lead to L & O issues 9.Safety to public property	
10.	Central Zone Cuddalore Dist. Pudupettai PS WP. 29564/23	Route March: 29.10.23 from 15.30 to 19.30 hrs. Starting & end point: Mariyamman Koil Thidal (behind Mahul Mahallim, Pudupettai. Via- Manthupalayam Koil Street, Bazaar Street, Koilpalayam Street, Bharathi Street, Panapakkam Road, Vellathu Mariamman Koil Street, Pejanaimadam lane, Pettai Street, Panapakkam Street, Main Road. Public meeting: Mariyamman Koil Thidal, Pudupettai	1 st Respondent: DGP 2 nd Respondent: SP, Cuddalore 3 rd Respondent : Inspector, Pudupettai PS	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	1.Not mentioned to obtain stability certificate 2.Not mentioned type of loud speaker and its decibel using in the meeting. 3.There will be Traffic congestion due to meet along SH-9 (Panruti-Thirukoilur road). 4.Church located on enroute at Panapakkam road and also located other religious residents. 5.Noor Masjith Sunnath Jamath Paivaasal and 200 Muslim families located near enroute at Kotlampakkam. 6. The members of TVK and VCK had given petitions to conduct rally and public meeting for communal harmony in between 22.10.23 and 31.10.23. 7.Strength should be sent to various important events like	Case in Portonovo PS Cr.No.478/2022 u/s 143, 147, 148, 155, 294, 295(A), 505(i)(C) (ii) (3), 353 IPC was registered in connection with violations during Vinayagar idol procession last year.



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail (point-wise))	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
		Total distance : About 3.25 km.				Devar Jayanthi pooja, etc.	
11.	Salem City C2 Hasthampatty PS W.M.P.No2 9173 of 2023 IN W.P. No.29544 of 2023	From: Maravaneri main road To - : Maravaneri main road Towards Bala Bharathi school - Court Road - LMB Thirumana Mandapam - Narasua Company - Johnsonpet Road - ATC Depo - LIC office - Cherry Road - Agriculture Office - AR line - Arts College - Ambethkar Roundana- Ends Maravaneri Main Road Approx 3.5 Kms Public Meeting Maravaneri Main Road 17.30 to 19.30 hrs	25.09.23 1 st Respondent The State of Tamilnadu Rep by its Secretary Home Department Fort St. George Chennai - 600 009 2 nd Respondent The Director General of Police Post Box No.601, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salas Mylapore Chennai - 600 004 3 rd Respondent The Commissioner of Police, Salem City Bankani Main Road Salem 636 006 4 th Respondent The Inspector of Police Hasthampatty police Station Salem 636 007	11.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	i) Mosque - 2 Bala Masque Mahamaniya Masque Surrounded by Muslim Residence and Shops ii) Churches - 1 St. Antony Church iii) TNSTC Depot Johnsonpet I & II LIC Office iv) School St. Paul Hr.Sec School Bala Bharathi Hr.Sec School v) Narrow Road 2 Kms vi) Traffic Congestion 1.5 Kms Maravaneri to Anai road, Gandhi road to Maravaneri Rutharammoorthy Hospital, Govt Primary Health Centre, Vincent Govt. Arts College	Cases in Neighbouring Range Approx 2 Kms from Hasthampatty PS 1) Salem City Kitchipalayam PS Cr.No.314/17 u/s. 153(A), 323, 506(i) IPC r/w 4 of TNWM Act Communal Disharmony Muslim Vs Hindu Muslim Persons removed Agal Vilakku kept in front of Hindu Front Salem 44 th Division President house 2) Salem City Kitchipalayam PS Cr.No. 315/17 u/s. 147,294(b), 323, 506(i) IPC r/w 4 of TNWM Act Communal Disharmony Hindu Vs Muslim 3) Salem City Hasthampatty PS Cr.No. 233/21 u/s. 143, 269 IPC r/w 2,3 of ED Act To installed Vinayagar idols without Permission 4) Salem City Ammapet PS Cr.No. 518/22 u/s. 153(A)436,506(i) IPC A Bottle Bomb through to the RSS leader house by Muslim. Two Persons arrested and Detained under NSA and released on 21.4.23 Now this case transferred to CBCID Salem.

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail (point-wise))	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
12.	North Zone TVMadai District Cheyyar PS WP. 29547 of 2023	Route March: Pro - Vedhapurishwarar Temple, Cheyyar To - Arni Road Junction, Cheyyar [Via Market, Gandhi Road, Bus Stand, Arcot Road] Public Meeting: Near Arni Road Junction, Cheyyar Time: 3.00 pm to 8.00 pm Approx. 2.9Kms	02.10.2023 (WP filing date) 1 st respondent - Secretary, Home Department, TN 2 nd respondent - DGP 3 rd respondent - SP, TVMadai (9495111911) 4 th respondent - Inspector of Police, Cheyyar PS (9495151314) Procession Petition received by Insp., of Police, Cheyyar on 25.09.2023	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	i) Mosque / Pallivasal LibinSunnadJamad Mosque, Gandhi Road And Nagar Mosque And Nagar Edla ground NagoorHasradDarga ii) Muslim Party Office TMMK Office, Opposite to AmmaUnavagam, Market Road, Cheyyar iii) Muslims Resident area Kamarajar Nagar, Mohamed Pettai, Anai Nagar iv) Full Moon day Orivalam TVMadai on 28.10.23 and 29.10.23 v) Narrow Road On Gandhi Road from Mandi Street to Jeeva Medicals vi) Traffic congestion Cheyyar Market area Cheyyar Bus Stand Government Hospital Market Committee	Cheyar PS Cr.No.555/2023 u/s 153-A(1)(a), 295, 504 & 505(3) IPC against ManaliManohar, State Secretary of Hindu Munnani for delivering derogative speech against Islam and the Hon'ble Minister for Youth Welfare and Sports Development.
13.	North Zone Kanchipuram District Uthiramerur PS WP.No. 29553 of 2023	Route March: From - Sthi Sundara Varadaraja Perumal Temple Uthiramerur To - Uthiramerur Bus Stand. [By wending through Periya Sengundar Street, Sengundar Street,	03.10.2023 2 nd respondent- DGP 3 rd respondent- SP, Kanchipuram (9495111011) 4 th respondent - Inspector of Police, Uthiramerur PS (9444848962)	Questionnaire served on 10.10.23 Replies received on 11.10.23	Rejected	i) Mosque Agalya Sunnath Jamath Mosque Surrounded by Muslim owned shops and Muslim residents ii) Church	Vishalakshi PS Cr.No.351/2023 u/s 4 of TNOPPD Act, dated:16.04.2023 Violation of conditions by Tata Ace vehicles (Reg.No.TN 31 AB 8460 & TN 21 BR 8582) fixed with flex banner containing the images of Bharmtha Madha, RSS Founders



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Km	Petition Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
		Parjanga Ayyanaka Street, Rayar Street, Theradi Street, Karunigar Street, Ananda Ayyanaka Street, VPK Street, Endathur Road Street, Thandukara Street, Bazaar Veethi, Uthiramerur in Uthiramerur PS limits) Public Meeting: Bus Stand, Uthiramerur Date: 29.10.2023 Time: 05.30 pm to 07.30 pm Approx - 3.0 Kms	DGP, Kanchipuram Tr.P.P.Julius Caesar (9498104691)			Annai Velankanni Church iii) Marriage Hall-4, 188akthi Marriage Hall, 2) Anandavalli Marriage Hall, 3) Jaya Marriage Hall, 4) Pechaimmal Marriage Hall iv) Traffic Congestion v) Narrow Roads vi) ¼ Km State Highways in the procession route vii) Near by Uthiramerur Bus Stand, Bazaar	Keshav Baliram Hedgewar and M.S.Golwalkar and a propaganda vehicle followed the R88 Route march at the end without permission
14.	North Zone Chengalpattu, Maduranthakam PS WP, 29382 of 2023	Route March From - Erikatha Ramar temple, Maduranthakam To - Theradi street, Maduranthakam (Towards - Ramar Koil street, main roadm, Meenatchiamman koil street, Parthasarathi street,	1 st respondent - DGP, 2 nd respondent - SP, Chengalpattu, 3 rd respondent - Inspector of Police, Maduranthakam PS	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	1) Never doesn't seem to have considered the fact that the procession route has commercial complexes on both sides and is a congested stretch of road, which could cause difficulties for emergency vehicles. 2) When the stage is set up at the last moment, the public or other people at the place are more people to object. 3) Details of campaign vehicles and vehicles used in processions and	Channambedu PS Cr.No.492/2023 u/s 153(A), 505, 509, 295 (A), 294 (b) IPC

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Km	Petition Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
		Vivekanandar street, Sannathi Street, Hospital road, SM-117 main road, Theradi street) Public Meeting Theradi street, Maduranthakam Time - 1610 hrs Approx. - 2.4 kms				public meetings were sought. But the vehicle's registration and driver's details were not disclosed. It also does not attach the details of the permission obtained. 4) The assembly required sustainability certificates for lighting fixtures, fixtures and fittings. But they did not report any of them 5) It was requested whether the Fire Department had sought prior permission and unobstructed proof of permission. But they did not report any of them. 6) It is likely that the police department will find it difficult to make progress regarding the safety of women. 7) several cases have been registered over the years for Hindu organizations to offend people of other faiths while conducting Ganesha processions and for various reasons. Since cases have been registered during such processions, religious disputes have arisen between Hindus and	



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Name	Petition Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Case if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
						Muslims.	
15.	North Zone Vellore District Gudiyatham Town PS W.P.No. 29384/2023	Route March From - Kurupuleeswarar Alayam, Nellorepet To - New Bus Stand, Gudiyatham Via, Gandhi Chouk, Nethaji Chouk, Santhai Bajar, Kamarajar Bridge, old Bus stand, Anna Street, Congress House road, Anjanayar temple (Near BDO Office) Distance 3Kms Public Meeting Near New Bus Stand, Gudiyatham. Time: 03:00PM to 05:00PM	Filing Date at High Court: 03.10.2023 2 nd respondent The DGP 3 rd respondent The DSP, Gudiyatham 4 th respondent The SP, Vellore 5 th respondent Tr.Parthasarathy, Inspector of Police, Gudiyatham TN PS Cell:9498110799 Petitioner Tr.K.Vasudevan S/o K.P.Kaminathan, D.No.2/85E, Big Street, Kalmoduga Village, Melmutalur, Gudiyatham TK, Vellore District. (District Joint Secretary of RSS)	Questionnaire Served on 10.10.2023 Replies received Dt.11.10.2023	Rejected	2 - Mosques Located in the enroute 1) Near Old Bus Stand (25m from enroute) 2) Near Nethaji Chowk (75m from enroute) 1 - Church Located in the enroute & Public Meeting Place (adjacent) Public Meeting Place Located near New Bus Stand, Gudiyatham Narrow Roads Traffic Congestion One way traffic being implemented in the enroute. (From Nethaji Chowk, Kamarajar Bridge, Vinayagar Temple - 1KM)	Gudiyatham TN PS Cr.No. 369/2023 U/8 153(A), 295 (A), 505 (2) IPC DO:23.07.2023 This case was registered against BJP National Minority Wing Secretary Tr.Vellore Ibrahim and BJP Party members for his alleged speech promoting enmity between two religions affecting religious harmony.

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Name	Petition Representation	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (point-wise)	Case if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
16.	North Zone TVMalai District Polar PS WP 29364 of 2023	Route March: From - New Railway Gate New Bridge Kalyanmandalam, Polar To - New Bridge Kalyanmandalam, Polar (Via Veerappan Street, Chundalurpettu Street, Gita School Roadside Gate, Gandhi Road, Thakle Office, Anjanayar Temple, CC Road) Public Meeting: Near Poojib Narayan Temple, CC Road, Polar Time: 3.00 pm to 6.00 pm Approx. 5.5Kms.	04.10.2023 (WP filing date) 1 st respondent - DGP 2 nd respondent SP, TVMalai (P/198111911) 3 rd respondent - Inspector of Police, Polar PS (P/198725027) Procession Petition received by Insp. of Police, Polar on 30.09.2023	10.10.2023 11.10.2023	Rejected	a) Muslim's Asharathan Thakle Office Road, Polar b) Other Religious Resident area Veerappan Street, Sathurpettai Street, Gandhi Road, Thakle Office Road, CC Road c) Full Moon day Gherwan TVMalai on 28.10.21 and 29.10.23 d) Kuroor Road On Veerappan Street Sathurpettai Street, Gandhi Road, Thakle Office Road, CC Road e) Traffic congestion Polar Railway lower construction work is going on	Case in Neighbouring Sub-Division (approx. 30 Kms from Polar) TVMalai District - Arni Sub-Division - Arni Town PS Cr.No. 755/2023, u/a 153-A(1)(a), 295, 504 & 505(3) IPC against Mahesh, Vellore Zone, President of Hindu Mahasabha delivering derogatory speech against Islam and the Hon'ble Minister for Youth Welfare and Sports Development.
17.	North Zone Viluppuram District Rathnam and Thidhanam PS W.P.No. 28605/2023	Route March: 09.10.2023 From - Near Chavara Rathnam Church To - Gandhi Statue Time: 3.30 PM (Via, Ginger bus stand, Theerthakulam, Rajaji street, New	Petitioner: Subhakarman, District Secy, of RSS. Viluppuram Respondent 1 st respondent - The State of Tamil Nadu 2 nd respondent - DGP/HoPF, Chennai. 3 rd respondent - SP, Viluppuram District	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	Enroute Mosques 1) New Mosque 2) Nawab Mosque 3) Dominated by Muslim residents and their commercial Establishments. 4) Traffic congestion 5) Market place 6) Narrow roads 7) Possibilities of Law and Order Issues between Hindus and Muslims.	A case has been already registered vide Thidhanam PS Cr.No.151/23 u/a 294(a), 323, 508(a) IPC on 17.04.2023 following a quarrel between drivers (Hindu Vs Muslim) at the place where requesting the procession route by RSS cadres. A case has been already registered vide Gingee PS Cr.No.382/22 U/a 153(A)(2), 295(A), 504, 505(a)(2), 505(3) IPC on 18.08.2022 through way printed pamphlets denigrating Prophet Mohammed Sab.



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Police Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. On	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
		mosque street, Nehru street Public Meeting Near Gandhi Statue, Tiruvannamalai. Time: 5.00 PM to 7.00 PM Approx. 3.2 Kms	Correspondent DSP, Tiruvannamalai SD 5 th respondent Inspector of Police, Ruzhimalai 6 th respondent Inspector of Police, Tiruvannamalai				
18.	West Zone Namakkal Dist Tiruchengode Town PS. WP. 29897/2023	Route March: From - Near Govt. Girl High Sch. School Gate, T. gade (Old Salem - Namakkal Road, Tiruvannamalai Street, Old Salem Road, East Car Street, Annamangalam Temple Street, Chaitany Street, Erode Road. Corner, West car street) 11.1 - Opp. to Govt. Girl High Sec. School, Sarfod Road T. gade. Approx. 3.75 Kms Public Meeting Near Govt. Girl High Sec. School, Sarfod Road T. gade.	Representation 1 st respondent - Secretary, Home Dept. 2 nd respondent - DGP 3 rd respondent - SP, Namakkal 4 th respondent - DSP, T. gade SD 5 th respondent - Inspector of Police, T. gade Town PS	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	a) CSI Church-1 b) Traffic Congestion on Narrow Roads c) Bus Stand in Procession Route d) Opposing Ideological party like VCK & TVK asking their procession in the same period on 28.10.2023. To prevent the law and order and public order issues.	1) Namakkal Dist., Velur Sub Division, Velur PS Cr. No. 218/2023 U/s 298, 303 A(1)(a), 304, 305(2), 309, 309(a) IPC. Hate Speech at Public Meeting at VinayagarChattram Prantik.

S. No.	District, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Police Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. On	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
19.	North Zone Tirupathur District Tirupathur TN PS W.P. No. 29886/2023	Time: 15.00 to 20.00 Hrs. New to Vadamalai Bhawan Railway Station Road Starting, Kigah Road & Aam Road, Padupet Road, North Muthappur Street, Chancher Street, Vaniamambal Road, Old Bus Stand backside road, Railway Station Road and with Vadamalai Bhawan (3.9 KM)	23.09.2023 1 st respondent - State of Tamil Nadu 2 nd respondent - DGP 3 rd respondent - SP, Tirupathur 4 th respondent - Inspector of Police, Tirupathur TN PS DSP, Tirupathur Sub Division - Tr. Scuttl	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	02 Mosques - Kozai Ediloh - Pasuram Mosque 03 Church - CSI Church - Sagaya Matha Church 3. Narrow Roads 4. Traffic Congestion 5. 300 Meters State Highways in the Procession Route. 6. Famous both side shops owned by the other religious people 7. Chaitany street in Vadamalai Bhawan is a private road	Ambur Town PS Cr. No. 193/2023 U/s 121, 122, 123 IPC and Sec. 18, 18A, 30, 36, 39 of Unlawful activities prevention Act 1967 - Mr. Anas Ali from Ambur for being associated with the banned movement 1988 Ambur Town PS Cr. No. 264/2022 U/s 143, 341 IPC - Protected for Condemning PFI people's house raids by NIA 3. Mentioned 07 cases have been registered in 2015 cases in Ambur Town.
20.	Avadi City T11 Thiruvannamalai P.S. W.P. No. 29886/2023	2 kms	03.10.2023 DSP Commissioner of Avadi City T11 Thiruvannamalai PS. T.N.D. District Officer, S/o Natesan,	Questionnaire served on 10.10.2023 Reply received on 11.10.2023	Rejected	Procession Route CTH Road have a) Masjid Mubarak Mosque (Near Alex Super Market) b) Masjid Mubarak Mosque (Near Gandhi Statue) c) St. Peter's CSI Church, CTH Road d) lot of heavy vehicles passing through the	Nil.



WEB COPY

S. No.	Director, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Road	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served as & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail highlighted	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
			Joint Secretary, Roadways, Erode Region (RSS), No.16, Koodamapuram, Thiruvallur, (T.R) & Tiler) Register Taped to Inspector.			processionway so traffic congestion will be happened. MPL (714) bus stand situated in CTH road near Gandhi Statue direction. on CTH Road is the busiest public meeting area so heavy traffic congestion will be happened. objection of the petitioner is not acceptable and satisfied. SVCK party and Thandava Vaharimal Katchi both were planned to conduct unity rally and Public Meeting across the state between 15/10/2023 and 31/10/2023 and TVK conducted between 20/10/2023 and 31/10/2023 respectively.	
21.	Wear Zone Erode District Erode Town PS W.P.No. 29889/2023	Erode Sengunthar HS School, Erode to Koor Anjaneyar Temple, Kallukkadaiyodu Public meeting near Anjaneyar Temple, Kallukkadaiyodu Approx. 3.5 Km.	1ST Respondent - DGP, TN 2ND Respondent SP Erode District 3rd Respondent Inspector of Police Erode Town PS	Served on 10.10.23 Reply on 11.10.23	Revised	Questionnaire issued regarding 1. Alternative route 2. Not enclosing map and model plan of uniform 3. Not mentioning parking place 4. Not mentioning details of public meeting date, Sound system, weatheriness	During this year Vinayagar Chaturthi Idol procession the following case were registered against certain violations 1. Kavadanpady PS Cr.No 330/2023 u/s 145, 151, 186 IPC and 10 of BNIS Act 2. Puliyampatty PS Cr.No 317/23 U/s 294(b), 181A, 204, 509 IPC & 4 of 2017WH ACT and 2026q IPC

S. No.	Director, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Road	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Served as & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail highlighted	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
						certificates from competent authorities 5. Not mentioning about the participation of PSO provided IP, firefighting and medical arrangements 6. Route march on traffic congested, narrow and busy business areas, public gather large in numbers in view of festivity. 7. VCK called for permission to take out procession regarding Communal harmony in Erode Town on 20.10.2023. 8. 3 cases of violation were registered during this year's Vinayagar Chaturthi idol procession 9. Thandava Vaharimal Katchi sought for permission to conduct social brotherhood day unity march on 29.10.2023 within Erode Town and Erode South PS limits. To which permission denied. 10. Request to interact between Christian and Hindu organizations at Chennimalai on 13.10.2023 was postponed conducted by	3. Kavadanpady PS Cr.No 232/2023 U/s 295(b), 153(1)(a), 505(1)(a) IPC



WEB COPY

S. No.	District, PS & P.P. No.	Route/Date	Political Representatives	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reasons for Rejection/Objections in Detail (if any)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
						Hindu Front and clashing of forces between them, which needs to be monitored closely. 11. More number of police to be sent to Kamuthi, Desur, Jayanthi handblast on 26.10.2023.	
22.	Krode District, Sathyamangalam PS W.P.No. 29619/2023	SMF Corner, Comalature road, Sathy to Vaidikuppetai, Sathy Public meeting at Vaidikuppetai, Sathyamangalam Approx. 3.1 km	2 nd Respondent – DSP, TN 3 rd Respondent – SP Krode District 4 th Respondent – Inspector of Police Sathyamangalam PS	Served on 10.10.23 Reply on 11.10.23	Rejected	Questionnaire issues regarding 1. Alternative route 2. Not enclosing route map and model plan of uniform 3. Not mentioning parking place 4. Not mentioning details of public meeting date, sound system, work hours, certificates from competent authorities 5. Not mentioning about the participation of PSO Provided PP, firefighting and medical arrangements 6. Route march on traffic congested, narrow and busy business areas, public gather large in numbers in view of festivity. 7. VCK called for permission to take out procession regarding Communal harmony in	During this year Vinayagar Chaturthi idol procession the following cases were registered against certain violations. 1. Kavandapady PS Cr.No.230/2023 u/s 143, 151, 156 IPC and 10 of TNPCNS Act 2. Poliyampatty PS Cr.No.317/23 u/s 294(b), 153A, 304, 309 IPC & 4 of TNPCNS ACT and 506(b) IPC 3. Kavandapady PS Cr No.232/2023 u/s 295(A), 153(1)(b), 505(1)(b) IPC

S. No.	District, PS & P.P. No.	Route/Date	Political Representatives	Questionnaire Served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reasons for Rejection/Objections in Detail (if any)	Cases if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
						Krode Town on 20.10.2023. 8. 3 cases of violation were registered during this year's Vinayagar Chaturthi idol procession 9. Tamilaga Vaidikmai Kavchi sought for permission to conduct Social brotherhood day only march on 24.10.2023 within Krode Town and Krode South PS limits. To which permission denied. 10. Sequel to bonnet between Christian and Hindu organisations at Chennimalai on 13.10.2023 mass agitation conducted by Hindu Front and clashing of forces between them, which needs to be monitored closely. 11. More number of police to be sent to Kamuthi, Desur, Jayanthi handblast on 26.10.2023.	



S. No.	District, PS & S.P. No.	Route/Km.	Devision Representation	Quarterly Report as to Early Fact, If	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection Objections in Detail (as received)	Case being continued in the subsequent proceedings
21.	West Zone Majara District Krumachu PS WP. 29732 of 2023	Route March from - Krumachu PSS To - Panchayat Ground (Upper District - into Nagla) Public Meeting Panchayat Ground 2 Km	2 nd Respondent - DGP 3 rd Respondent - SP Mirgita Dr. (N456833311) 4 th Respondent - Inspector of Police, Krumachu Police Station (N445577993) Inspector of Police, Krumachu Police Station	11.10.23 12.10.23	Rejected	i) Police School Junction & Traffic Junction ii) Traffic Congestion iii) Mosque Surrounded by Muslim owned shops and Muslim residences via 2 nd Season and Pojo holidays Increasing of Tourist flow	1. Town Central PS Cr. No. 21A/23 U/s 4(AA) (i)(a) (b) TNCPD 2. Gudiara PS Cr. No. 171/23 U/s 153 (AA) IPC r/w 4 of TNCPD

S. No.	District, PS & V.P. No.	Event/Item	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Received on & Reply Recd. dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection: Objections in Para 2 (Exhaustive)	Case If any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
24	West Zone	From: Info Engineering college ground, Kottapalayam District Kottapalayam Dist. RMCH. To: Info Engineering college ground, Kottapalayam Public meeting: Info Engineering college ground, Kottapalayam Distance -3 Km. Date: 22.10.23 Time: 16.00 hrs to 18.00 hrs	Kottapalayam PS 12.09.2023 Respondents 1.The DGP/ HdV, 2.The SP, CBS 3 Inspector of Police, Kottapalayam PS	Served on 08.10.23 Reply Received on 09.10.23	Rejected	Church 1.CSI Church. 2 The route passes through National Highway-94B 3.Confiscate Bangalore preferred by tourists going to Ooty 3 Further all emergency ambulance services from Palgana are routed through this route to reach medical services in Coimbatore. 4.Traffic Congestion. 5.Enroute to Airport.	1)Cases in neighbouring sub-division:Kottapalayam approximately 15 Km from Kottapalayam (Metpalayam) PS, Cr.No.781/22, u/s 436 a/c r/w 4 of TNPSDL Act & 436, 153 (a) IPC r/w, 3 (a) of Explosive Substances Act, 3(Metpalayam) PS, Cr.No.783/22, u/s 436 IPC r/w 4 of TNPSDL Act & 436, 153 (a) IPC r/w, 3 (a) of Explosive Substances Act Museum fundamentalist. Chennai Metro car crash and damaged the Properties of Hindu fundamentalist for ban of 111 Party. 2)Violation in 888 Route march at Metpalayam on 16.04.2023 in this regard Metpalayam PS
		ITEM 94					

Sr. No.	Disturbance, PS & G.D. No.	Name/Place	Political Representation	Questions/Answer or Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objectives in Third para) (a) only	Case (if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
							Cr.No.354/22 u/s 41) of TNMPDL Act. 3)Kodiyalapuram PS Cr.No.74/2016 u/s 147, 148, 452, 295A, 506(a) r/w 31) TNMPDL Act for damage of CSI Church by activities of Hindu Front.
25	West Zone Coimbatore Dist., Kottur PS WP.No. 29749/ 2023 ITEM 96	From citizens of Kottur PS, Kottur to valparai road. To: Kammurugan Marriage hall, Kottur. Public meeting Kammurugan Marriage hall, Kottur. Distance -3.5km. Date: 29.10.23 Time: 17.00 hrs to 20.00 hrs	Kottur PS 27.09.2023 Respondents: 1.The DGP/HoPF, 2.The SP, CRP, 3.Inspector of Police, Kottur PS (Kannamalai Circle)	Served on 08.10.23. Reply Received on 09.10.23	Rejected	<u>1.Mosque:</u> a) Anasah,Imma Masjid, ii) Sunnath Imam and Imam's wealth, <u>2.Churches & Schools</u> a) CSI Church, ii) Tanayana Church surrounded by 25 Commercial establishments & 20 houses belonging to Muslims and Christian Communities, iii) Christian school 3. Tourist spots b) Ashiyar dam, c) En route to Valparai Hill station. <u>4.Traffic Congestion.</u>	1)Cases in neighbouring sub division Polachi approximately 15 Km from Kottur. 1)Palachi West PS Cr.No.256/22, u/s. 427 IPC r/w. 31) of TNMPDL Act 1992 g) 147, 148, 153(a), 190(b) IPC and 31) of TNMPDL Act 1992. 2)Palachi West PS, Cr.No.357/2022, u/s. 437, 435 r/w 511 of IPC and 31) of TNMPDL Act 1992 g) 147, 148, 153(a), 120 (b) 435 r/w 511 of IPC and 31) of TNMPDL Act 1992 g) 147, 148, 153(a), 120 (b) 435 r/w 511 of IPC and 31) of TNMPDL Act 1992. 3)Palachi West PS Cr.No.284/22, u/s.427 IPC and 31) of TNMPDL Act 1992g)147,148,153(a),120(b)IPC and 31) of TNMPDL ACT 1992. 4)Palachi West PS Cr.No.256/22, u/s.427 IPC and 31) of TNMPDL Act 1992 g)147,148,153(a), 120 (b) IPC and 31) of TNMPDL ACT 1992. 5)Palachi West PS Cr.No.560/22, u/s.435 IPC r/w.511 IPC g) 147,148,153(a),120(b) IPC and 31) of TNMPDL ACT 1992 Muslim fundamentalist driven/Mohitor coconut and damaged the Properties of Hindu fundamentalist for ban of PFI Party.



WEB COPY

Sl. No.	District, PS & S.P. No.	Area/Zone	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply filed by	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections to Demand) (point-wise)	Case if any continued in the Rejection proceedings
							2) Violation in RSS Route march at Pollachi on 12.04.2023 in this regard ML.Petitioners Cr.No.68/23 u/s 4(1) of TMCPD Act.
26	Central Zone Nagapattinam Nagapattinam Nagapattinam Town PS WP No. 29763/2023 Dt:10.10.23 ITEM 96	Starting Point : Near Nagapattinam Railway Station Route 281, NellukkaduManjamma Temple, Nedda South St, Nedda East st, Sir Ahamedar, Hospital Road, New bus stand Finishing Point : Arumthurai, Nagapattinam Total Distance : 9.3 km Public Meeting : Arumthurai, Nagapattinam	1st Respondent - The Director General Of Police, Tamil Nadu 2nd Respondent - The Superintendent Of Police, Nagapattinam 3rd Respondent - The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nagapattinam Sub Division 4th Respondent - The Inspector of Police, Nagapattinam Town PS	10.10.2023 10.10.2023 & 12.10.23	Rejected	i) Mosque Muhaddar, Jamiah Masjid Surrounded by Muslim owned shops and Muslim residence ii) Traffic Congestion iii) Narrow Roads iv) Public concentrated Place New Bus Stand Old Bus stand Railway Station	1. Nagapattinam District Nagapattinam PS Cr.No. 313/23 u/s 153A(1)(a), 295A, 189, 506(2), 505(2) IPC was registered against Th. G. Ramasubrahmaniam for Th. G. Ramasubrahmaniam, Founder President, Hindu DevalakshmiKudachi delivered objectionable speech which was objected by adharavada people and other political parties, which leads to communal tension. It created an unusual situation at Nagapattinam and Police faced difficulties to prevent the problem from spreading to other area. 2. Nagapattinam District Kallakur PS Cr.No. 123/23 u/s 291(4), 293, 295 IPC & 67 IT Act. The case was registered against Th. Ramasubrahmaniam for on 24.05.2023, a lady Government Teacher wearing Hijab while on duty in Government Hospital, Thirupattur and it was objected by BJP cadre Th. Rameshchandra Ram and also quarreled with her for wearing Hijab in Government Hospital, which created communal tension.

Sl. No.	District, PS & S.P. No.	Area/Zone	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply filed by	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections to Demand) (point-wise)	Case if any continued in the Rejection proceedings
27	Central Zone Aranyalur District Aranyalur PS WP No. 29765/2023 ITEM 97	Route march From - Jayankondam T.Palur Road MahaKannanManyan manakod, Jayankondam To - KoorPettanankovil, Jayankondam Via Government hospital, Cutchery Road, Bus stand road, Sivan Kovil, Sannathi street, 48th, Vairathachal am road, Devanga street, Chidambaram Road, Dattaraj street, perumal Kovil Jayankondam 2 Km) Public Meeting KoorPettanankovil, Jayankondam	1st Respondent: The DGP, TamilNadu 2nd Respondent: The Superintendent of Police, Aranyalur Dt. 9449149260 3rd Respondent: The Inspector of Police, Jayankondam PS I/c Jayankondam PS Cell 9552241606 Tr.Dhanabalan Inspector of Velayudapalayam PS I/c Jayankondam PS Cell 9552241606	12.09.23 10.10.23 & 11.10.23	Rejected	1) Churches 2) Mosque 3) Traffic Congestion. 4) Narrow roads. 5) Masses.	Rajasekhar, who was invited as a special speaker on behalf of the Hindu Front during the Vamagaru (Chamundi) procession held at Jayankondam on 22.09.2023, is the Deputy Divisional Secretary of the Hindu Front, for speaking against the Tamil Nadu Chief Minister and the Government in a way that would cause rioting. A case FIR No 450/2023 under section 153A, 505(2) IPC was registered and action was taken.
28	CBE City CS Thudiyalur PS W.P.No. 29771/2023	Thudiyalur Bus stand - Muthunagar - Thudiyalur Railway Station - Chennai Laxmy - V&V Nagar Veeranathapuram - Nethupalayam Main road.	P.Vijayakumar Zonal In-charge RSS, Coimbatore Mahanagar 9894066568	Served on 10.10.23 Reponse received on 11.10.23	Rejected	1) Map for the route not given 2) The route is congested with public movement and vehicular movements, shops and stalls 3) A meeting point of route is bus stand wherein movement of buses and other vehicle	1. VIOLATION OF ORDERS OF THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS IN W.P.NO.26540/2023 2) Coimbatore City ED Vairavai Hall Road PS Cr.No.68/2023 u/s 151, 341, 295 IPC - Toned 16.04.2023 3) Coimbatore City ED Vairavai Hall Road PS Cr.No.69/2023 u/s 151, 153A(1) IPC - Toned 16.04.2023



WEB COPY

S. No.	Sl. No. & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Political Representation	Questions/Issues raised on it (Order No. 10)	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection/Objections in Detail (summarized)	Cases (if any) mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
	ITEM 98	(Public meeting at Vinayakothupuram Circuit ground - Distance - 3.5 Km) (a) Sanku Mill gate - Sampur Street - Marudam road - Nachampalayam - Edayarpalayam - Sivaswathi Ramachandra Street - Ganapathur road, Masaravakkudi Thottam. (Public meeting at Masaravakkudi Thottam - Distance - 3 Km)				vehicles are always available. 4) The route is main route for the movement of emergency vehicles, such as ambulance and fire & rescue services. 5) Details given about the participation of physically challenged and aged persons are insufficient. 6) Types of vehicles, No. of vehicles and ownership details of vehicles are not given. 7) Map of the routes to be traversed by the participants to reach the procession point / public meeting were not given. 8) Insufficient reply on parking arrangements for the vehicles. 9) Names of the important leaders to attend the procession and public meeting are not given. 10) Mosque are present on proposed route. 11) Muslim Nagar Muslim mosque on left side of the procession route. Kavada/Audithhanga on Ganapathur road, Ahle Sunnath Jumrah	II. CASES REGISTERED DURING VINAYAKAR IDOL PROCESSION AND FESTIVAL, 2023 A) PROVOCATIVE SPEECH CASES C5 Kavundampalayam PS Cr.No.33/23 u/s 153(a) 504, 505 IPC - Date 20.09.2023 - for delivering provocative speech against other religion B1 Baram PS Cr.No.285/23 u/s 153(a), 341, 356 IPC - Dated 21.09.2023 - Burning of crackers and provocative speech B) VIOLATION OF ORDERS : D4 Kunyamattur PS Cr.No.516/23 u/s 285 IPC - Dated 18.09.2023 - Burning crackers and had disturbed public peace C5 Kavundampalayam PS Cr.No.32/23 u/s 143, 341 & 286 IPC - Dated 19.09.2023 - Burning of crackers in Vinayagar Chathurthi Tharuvathi Usha and hindrance to vehicular traffic. C5 Kavundampalayam PS Cr.No.31/23 u/s 143, 341 & 286 IPC - Dated 19.09.2023 - Burning of crackers in Vinayagar Chathurthi Tharuvathi Usha and hindrance to vehicular traffic. C5 Kavundampalayam PS Cr.No.34/23 u/s 143, 341 & 286 IPC - Dated 19.09.2023 - Burning of crackers in Vinayagar Chathurthi Tharuvathi Usha and hindrance to vehicular traffic.

S. No.	Sl. No. & W.P. No.	Route/Place	Political Representation	Questions/Issues raised on it (Order No. 10)	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection/Objections in Detail (summarized)	Cases (if any) mentioned in the Rejection proceedings
						Thadii Masjid at Edayarpalayam. 12) No. of reception points on procession route and no. of persons to attend each reception point. 13) It is given in the reply that, 500 seats are arranged for sitting the participants at the public meeting, which is to be attended by around 2000 persons. 14) The details of decorated vehicle to be participated in the procession, along with details of drivers to be employed for that and permission to allow the decorated vehicle in the procession are not given. 15) Details of Advertisement arrangements made in connection with procession and public meeting are not given. 16) Details of persons / companies engaged for covering the procession and public meeting by CCTV and videography are insufficient. 17) No details about the organizer / Co-ordinator of the events are given except that	C5 Kavundampalayam PS Cr.No.35/23 u/s 143, 341 & 286 IPC - Dated 19.09.2023 - Burning of crackers in Vinayagar Chathurthi Tharuvathi Usha and hindrance to vehicular traffic. E2 Pechamudi PS Cr.No. 590/23 u/s 143, 341, 356 IPC - Dated 19.09.2023 - Burning of crackers in Vinayagar Chathurthi Tharuvathi Usha and hindrance to vehicular traffic. C6 Thudiyalur PS Cr.No.556/23 u/s 143, 341, 353, 286, 290 IPC - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, and causing public nuisance. U6 Thudiyalur PS Cr.No.291/23 u/s 143, 341, 353, 286, 290 IPC - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, and causing public nuisance. D5 - Sundarapuram PS Cr.No. 07/23 u/s 143, 341, 353, 283, 290, 291 IPC - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, displaying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, and causing public nuisance and hindrance. D5 - Sundarapuram PS Cr.No.06/23 u/s 143, 341, 353, 283, 290, 291 IPC & 194 (a) MV Act - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, displaying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, violating the MV rule and causing public hindrance.



WEB COPY

Sl. No.	District, PS No. & P.F. No.	Route/Zone	Police Representation	Questionnaire Answered on & Reply Recd. On	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objectives to be met) (point-wise)	Case (if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
						names and contact number. 18] On the same day Tamilaga Vaiburnam Kutchi also requested to permit them to have communal harmony rally followed by a public meeting in the same zone and public meeting place proposed by the RSS, which was denied. 19] The Vaidikathi Chirucholai Party has requested permission for communal harmony procession from Shannanda Colony, Coimbatore to Oodhipuram on any date between 15.10.2023 and 30.10.2023. 20] Police officers and other ranks strength from local, traffic and armed reserve have to be sent to Panambur/Dwar Gura Pooja Bandhust duty on 30.10.2023 at Panambur District. 21] Coimbatore City is highly communally sensitive. Any violence or incident by the activity of either participating RSS procession and public	D5 Sundaram puram PS Cr. No.09/23 u/s 143, 341, 353, 286, 290, 291 IPC - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, disobeying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, and causing public nuisance and hindrance. D5 Sundaram puram PS Cr. No.10/23 u/s 143, 341, 353, 283, 290, 291 IPC & 194 (a) MV Act - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, disobeying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, violating the MV rule and causing public hindrance. D3 Pa Cr.No. 450/23 U/s 283, 286, 290, 341, 353 IPC & 194(a) MV Act - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, disobeying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, violating the MV rule and causing public hindrance. D3 Pa Cr.No. 451/23 U/s 283, 286, 290, 341, 353 IPC & 194(a) MV Act - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, disobeying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, violating the MV rule and causing public hindrance. D3 Pa Cr. No. 452/23 U/s 283, 286, 290, 341, 353 IPC & 194(a) MV Act - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, disobeying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty,

Sl. No.	District, PS No. & P.F. No.	Route/Zone	Police Representation	Questionnaire Answered on & Reply Recd. On	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objectives to be met) (point-wise)	Case (if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
						meeting would lead to communal disharmony and serious law and order situation.	violating the MV rule and causing public hindrance. D3 Pa Cr.No. 453/23 U/s 283, 286, 290, 341, 353 IPC & 194(a) MV Act - Dated 20.09.2023 - Burning of crackers, disobeying the order, preventing the public servant from discharging his lawful duty, violating the MV rule and causing public hindrance. D2 Srivaperam PS Cr.No.241/23 u/s 286, 437 IPC- Dated 21.09.2023 - Burning of crackers and damaged to vehicle III. CASE REGISTERED RELATING TO A CAR-CYLINDER LEAK INCIDENT (RSS MODULE) 2022 B4 Uthandam PS Cr.No.307/2023 u/s 174(3) Cr.P.C.(Suspicion Death) u/s 3a) of Explosive Substances Act Dated 21.10.2022. Transferred to SIA, Chennai as per the order of the Government of Tamil Nadu.
29	West Zone- Thrippur District, Madhavakulam PS WP, 29776 of 2023 ITMCM-99	1-Route Vigneshwarambali (near railway station) Four road (Ramayyar road) Kuzhalakani K.T.L road Govt. Hr Sec. School, Madhavakulam (Halam road) Indian oil petrol bunk	1.The Director General of police, Mysorepet, Chennai. 2.The Superintendent of Police, Tiruppur, 9840738552 3.DSP Madhavapur Tr. Sakunthamani, 9458187005	10.10.23 11.10.23	Rejected	i) Pentecostal Church in the procession route ii) Traffic Congestion iii) Km NH28 in the procession route iv) Muslim Shops in the procession route v) NOC from land owner for public meeting place not obtained vi) TVK party also presented a petition for procession.	Violation cases for RSS route march in the previous year. 1. Palaciam PS Cr.No.6416/23 U/s. 143 of Tamil Nadu open place procession and (disobeying) Act 1958 and 186 IPC 2. Moolanur PS, Cr.No. 108/23, U/s 153-44 IPC



WEB COPY

Sl. No.	District, PS & R.P. No.	Route/Place	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reasons for Rejection/Objections in Detail (if any)	Case (if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
30	Tiruppur City Central PS WP/29778/2023	Asand weigh bridge Thayar petrol bunk near ground 2 nd route SR layout road near (Sukirtha hospital) Nall road/Kannur road Kathulurani & V.L. road/Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Madachukulam (Main road) Indian Oil petrol bunk Asand weigh bridge Ilhazh petrol bunk near ground Ilhazh route 2.5 Kms Note: Route not yet confirmed Public meeting Ilhazh petrol bunk near ground Time: 1600 to 1900 hrs Route - 1 (3.5 Km) From: Tiruppur Kannur College of Women (in front of) To: Erukkuadu 3 rd Street (Ayyan nagar main road, RTC School Bus stop, Ramraj Road office,	1. Inapp. Madachukulam Tr. Stationing: 9498104540 12.09.2023 Petitioner: N.M. Natarajan S/o. Madhavan No. 7, Seena Maadu Tavan Kattu, 1 st St, Dharmapuram Road	Questionnaire re Served on: 10.10.23 Reply received on: 11.10.23	Rejected	1. When a mosque that functioned without permission was sealed by Revenue Dept in Tiruppur city, there were protests by Muslims and counter protests by Hindu organisations and hence still ill feelings exist between both.	1. General PS Cr.No. 632/2023 u/s 153,153A(1)(b),504, 299(a) IPC. 2. South PS Cr.No.719/2023 u/s 143,341 IPC

Sl. No.	District, PS & R.P. No.	Route/Place	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reasons for Rejection/Objections in Detail (if any)	Case (if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
	TYEM 100	Kannuramangalam Corporation High school, Ponchakadu 2 nd street and 1 st cross Public meeting Place: not mentioned (SR) Route - 2.15.4 Km From: Tiruppur to Vayamangalam road near Ayayudu road Vc Chellam Nagar Area (Kannuramangalam 1 st cross rd, Kerkkadu 2 nd street,Ponchakadu 1 st and 2 nd street, KTC school road) Public meeting Place: not mentioned	Tiruppur - 641604 1 st respondent - DGP 2 nd respondent - CUP, IVC 3 rd respondent - ACP, KVR Nagar Range 4 th respondent: Inspector of Police, Central PS [6994178563]			2. Developments like P94 Race and Car cylinder bomb blast in Kannuram had repercussions in Tiruppur City and situation remains sensitive. 3. Hindu front organised massive protest in Tiruppur city condemning registration of cases and arrest of their members for hate/ provocative speech during Vinayakar chaturthi throughout Tamilnadu. There is still sensitivity in this regard. 4. Procession route is narrow and traffic congestion issues. 5. TWR petition seeking permission for procession on same date, time and place.	

Sl. No.	District, PS & R.P. No.	Route/Place	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire served on & Reply Recd. Dt.	Status of petition	Reasons for Rejection/Objections in Detail (if any)	Case (if any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
31	Central Zone Tiruvannamalai District Mannargudi Town PS	Route March : From: Thevadi, Mannargudi. The Metravasanthi, Mannargudi Thevadi, Metravasanthi.	1 st - Respondent - DGP 2 nd - Respondent - SP/TVR 3 rd - Respondent - Inspr /Mannargudi Town Po.	Questionnaire re Served on: 10.10.2023 at 02.30 hrs & Replies received date on	Rejected	Enroute: 1. Pallavathi: Melai Jamma Pallavathi TNEU Pallavathi ERTHA Pallavathi Pampapallavathi 2. Traffic:	Mannargudi Town PS Cr No 800/2014 u/s 151 Cr.PC. Mannargudi Town PS Cr. No. 801/2014 u/s 143, 165, Cr.PC



WEB COPY

S. No.	Serial, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Zone	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Filled on & Reply Recd. On	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail (optional))	Case (If any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
	WP.No. 29762/2023 ITEM 76	Pandakudi, G.H. road (Gandhi road), Thangamangudi Building, Rukmanikrishna, Thiruvallur, Melanajavethu. Meeting Place (Singhamakalayan an Temple, Periyar Statue) & Lane : 15.00 hrs Distance : 3.250Km	Petitioner: Tr.Vijayaram, S/o Majendhan, 128/65, East First Street, Mannargudi, 904/5/2292	11.10.2023 at 20.30 hrs		Temporary Bus Stand Located at Thiruvallur it is highly congested Area, Narrow Road, Mannargudi, Government Hospital is located in the Thiruvallur Bazaar area, J. Periyar statue located at Meeting place (Singhamakalayan Temple)	
32	General Zone Mayiladuthurai District Mayiladuthurai PS W.P.No. 29905 of 2023 ITEM 79	Chinnaiyaduthurai to Town To Chinnaiyaduthurai Vasanthanagar/Thiruvallur - Kumbakonam Road - Anna Park bank - Mamakudi - Chinnaiyaduthurai (74 KM) Public Meeting: Infront of Velayudha Temple, Near Municipality of Mayiladuthurai, Chinnaiyaduthurai Street, Mayiladuthurai. Time: 29.10.2023 at 15.00 hrs to 17.00 hrs	The petitioner has sought permission for procession and public meeting and gave a petition on 11.09.2023.	10.10.2023 11.10.2023	Rejected	1. Mosque - 01 Mosque near Public meeting Place. - There are few shops owned by Muslims in the procession route. 2. There is a mosque and two churches near the procession route. 3. Traffic congestion. 4. - 01 5 Km State Highway in the procession route. - 01 4 Km. Narrow roads in the procession route. 5. There is no clear description of how many people will attend the procession and public meeting. 6. The Petitioner has mentioned that the public meeting stage will be set up on a motor trailer. Under the Motor Vehicle Act	Mayiladuthurai PS Cr.No. 818/2016 U/s 341, 143, 153 IPC was registered against RSS party for conduct of public meeting without any prior permission and caused disturbance to the public.

S. No.	Serial, PS & W.P. No.	Route/Zone	Petitioner Representation	Questionnaire Filled on & Reply Recd. On	Status of petition	Reason for Rejection (Objections in Detail (optional))	Case (If any mentioned in the Rejection proceedings)
33	West Zone Kilgiris District Koragudi PS WP. 29906 of 2023 ITEM 80	Route March From - Darington To - Gandhi Ground (Town do Johnson Square, Rameswaram, Johnson Square, Bus Stand) Public Meeting Gandhi Ground 2.5 Km	3rd Respondent - DGP 3rd Respondent - SP Kilgiris District (948663111) 44 Respondents - Inspector of Police, Koragudi Police Station (9447534646) N. Velumagan, Inspector of Police, Koragudi PS 9442524646	11.10.23 & 12.10.23	Rejected	there is a provision that tractors and trailers should be used only for agricultural purposes. Because it is unsafe to hold a public meeting in a tractor and trailer. b) Near to Traffic Junctions i) VIP/VIP Route ii) Mosque Surrounded by Muslim owned shops and Muslim residences c) Church d) Traffic Congestion e) Govt. Hospital f) 2nd Session and Pooja holidays - Increasing of Tourist flow	1. Town Control PS Cr. No. 818/23 U/s 406A (iii) (b) JGMPJ 2. Gudiya PS Cr. No. 171/23 U/s. 153 (A,4) IPC r/w 6 of JGMPJ



WEB COPY



Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.

Sma

W.P.No.29039 of 2023 etc batch

16.10.2023