

GAHC010142072023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3809/2023

SANU DAS
S/OLT. PANI RAM DAS
VILL- BHATARMARI
P.O. AND P.S. PANBARI
DIST. CHIRANG, ASSAM
PIN- 783391
ROLL NO. 5105050676

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 7 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
GOVT. OF INDIA, NEW DELHI-1

2:THE STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
BLOCK NO. 12 CGO COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD NEW DELHI
03

3:THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
GUWAHATI
ASSAM
HOUSE FED COMPLEX
DISPUR
GHY-6

4:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
BORDER SECURITY FORCE
BLOCK NO. 10 CGO COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD

NEW DELHI
03

5:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

SASHASTRA SEEMA BALL
5-6
VIVEKANANDA MARG
EAST BLOCK
R.K. PURAM
NEW DELHI
22

6:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE (RECRUITMENT)
EAST BLOCK-07
LEVEL-4
SECTOR-01
R.K. PURAM
NEW DELHI
66

7:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

INDO TIBETAN BORDER POLICE
BLOCK NO. IV
CGO COMPLEX
LODHI
ROAD
NEW DELHI-03

8:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE
BLOCK NO. 13 CGO COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI-0

Advocate for the Petitioner : MD H R AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM**

ORDER**Date : 28-06-2023**

Heard Mr. H.R. Ahmed, learned counsel for the writ petitioner. Also heard Mr. S. S. Roy, learned CGC, appearing for the respondents.

The petitioner herein belongs to the OBC category. In response to the advertisement notice dated 21-07-2018 for recruitment in the post of Constable (General Duty) in the Central Armed Police Forces (CAPFs), the petitioner had submitted his candidature and had also participated in the selection process. However, on conclusion of the process, the petitioner was not selected. The basis for not doing so is on account of the fact that the marks obtained by the petitioner was considered along with the other candidates belong to the OBC category and it was observed that since the petitioner had availed the relaxation for chest and height measurement prescribed for the candidates coming from Assam, he could not be considered along with the general category candidates. However, the fact remains that the petitioner had scored more marks than some of the general category candidates, who were ultimately selected and appointed by the authorities.

Similarly situated petitioners had earlier approached this Court by filing W.P.(C) No. 3764/2021 raising a similar grievance. After taking note of the facts of the case as well as the law laid down by the Delhi High Court in ***Hemant Pokhriyal Vs. SSC & Ors.*** [***WP(C) 4982/2021*** decided on 01-10-2021] and also the decision rendered in the case of ***Arpan Chowdhury Vs. UoI & Ors.*** rendered by the Tripura High Court in connection

with **W.P.(C) No. 117/2021**, the learned Single Judge was of the opinion that the petitioners were entitled to receive orders of appointment. According, the writ petition was disposed of with a direction upon the respondents to accommodate those petitioners in any of the CAPFs, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

By referring to the order dated 11-08-2022 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 3764/2021, Mr. Ahmed submits that this is a covered case and, therefore, be disposed of with a similar direction.

Mr. Roy, learned CGC, in his usual fairness, has conceded that the petitioner's case would be covered by the previous decision of this Court but submits that since in the meantime, all the vacant posts of Constable (GD) in the CAPFs coming under the advertisement notice dated 21-07-2018 have already been filled up, it may be difficult to accommodate the petitioner at this stage.

Mr. Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in similar matters, this Court had earlier issued direction to consider the case of the writ petitioners.

Taking note of the submission advanced by learned counsel for both the sides, this writ petition is hereby disposed of by providing that subject to availability of vacancy, the respondents may issue order of appointment to the petitioner appointing him as Constable (GD) in any of the division of the Central Armed Police Forces or in any other Armed Police Force, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within 6 (six) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

GS

Comparing Assistant