

GAHC010130832023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3468/2023

MANORANJAN ROY AND ANR
S/O- DHANKUMAR ROY,
VILL. TALLI PT. I,
P.O.- BELGURI, P.S.- AGOMANI,
DIST. DHUBRI (ASSAM),
PIN- 783334.
ROLL NO. 5105021281.

2: MANOJ KR. BARMAN
S/O- SHIBU BARMAN

VILL.- BAJUGAON NO. 1

P.O.- JARAGURI
P.S. GOSSAIGAON

DIST.- KOKRAJHAR (ASSAM)

PIN- 783361.
ROLL NO. 5105011039

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 7 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY OF MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,
GOVT. OF INDIA,
NEW DELHI, 1.

2:THE STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN

BLOCK NO. 12
CGO COMPLEX LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI

03.

3:THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
GUWAHATI
ASSAM

HOUSE FED COMPLEX
DISPUR

GHY-6.

4:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
BORDER SECURITY FORCE
BLOCK- 10 CGO COMPLEX

LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI
03.

5:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
SASHATRA SEEMA BALL
5-6
VIVEKANANDA MARG
EAST BLOCK

R.K. PURAM
NEW DELHI
22.

6:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
(RECRUITMENT)

EAST BLOCK-07
LEVEL-4
SECTOR-01

R.K. PURAM
NEW DELHI
66.

7:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
INDO TIBETAN BORDER POLICE
BLOCK NO. IV
CGO COMPLEX

LODHI ROAD

NEW DELHI
03.

8:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE
BLOCK NO. 13
CGO COMPLEX

LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI
03

Advocate for the Petitioner : MD H R AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM

ORDER

Date : 16/06/2023

Heard Mr. H. R. Ahmed, learned counsel for the writ petitioners. Also heard Mr. S. S. Roy, learned CGC, appearing for the respondents.

The two petitioners herein belong to the OBC category. In response to the advertisement notice dated 21-07-2018 for recruitment in the post of Constable (General Duty) in the Central Armed Police Forces (CAPFs), the petitioners had submitted their candidature and had also participated in the selection process. However, on conclusion of the process, the petitioners were not selected. The basis for not doing so is on account of the fact that the marks obtained by the petitioners was considered along with the other candidates belong to the OBC category and it was observed that since the petitioners had availed the relaxation for chest and height measurement prescribed for the candidates coming from Assam, they could not be considered along with the general category candidates. However, the fact remains that the petitioners had scored more marks than some of the general category candidates, who were ultimately selected and appointed by the authorities.

Similarly situated petitioners had earlier approached this Court by filing W.P.(C) No. 3764/2021 raising a similar grievance. After taking note of the facts of the case as well as the law laid down by the Delhi High Court in Hemant Pokhriyal Vs. SSC & Ors. [WP(C) 4982/2021 decided on 01-10-2021] and also the decision rendered in the case of Arpan Chowdhury Vs. UoI & Ors. rendered by the

Tripura High Court in connection with W.P.(C) No. 117/2021, the learned Single Judge was of the opinion that the petitioners were entitled to receive orders of appointment. According, the writ petition was disposed of with a direction upon the respondents to accommodate those petitioners in any of the CAPFs, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

By referring to the order dated 11-08-2022 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 3764/2021, Mr. Ahmed submits that this is a covered case and, therefore, be disposed of with a similar direction.

Mr. Roy, learned CGC, in his usual fairness, has conceded that the petitioners' case would be covered by the previous decision of this Court but submits that since in the meantime, all the vacant posts of Constable (GD) in the CAPFs coming under the advertisement notice dated 21-07-2018 have already been filled up, the petitioners may be accommodated in any other Armed Police Force.

Mr. Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that his clients would have no objection if such a recourse is taken as long as they are issued the order of appointment without further delay.

Taking note of the submission advanced by learned counsel for both the sides, this writ petition is hereby disposed of by providing that the respondents may issue orders of appointment to the petitioners appointing them as Constable (GD) in any of the division of the Central Armed Police Forces or in any other Armed Police Force, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within 6 (six) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

sukhamay

Comparing Assistant