

GAHC010038202014



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2511/2014

BINOD KARJEE and 4 ORS
VILLAGE NO. 2, DOBOK, P.O. DOBOK, RANGIA, KAMRUP, ASSAM.

2: MRIDUL DEKA
VILLAGE KANIKUCHI
P.O. KANIHA RANGIA
KAMRUP
ASSAM.

3: RAFIKUL HUSSAIN
VILL- NIZBARZGOG
P.O. KANIHA RANGIA
KAMRUP
ASSAM.

4: TAPAN CH. BAISHYA
VILL- NIZBARZGOGO
P.O. KANIHA RANGIA
KAMRUP
ASSAM.

5: RUBUL KALITA
VILL- ISCHADAGHARIA
P.O. KANIHA RANGIA
KAMRUP
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA and 6 ORS
REP. BY THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM
ENTERPRISES MO MSME, DELHI.

2: THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

KAMRUP AMINGAON
DIST. KAMRUP
ASSAM.

3:KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES
COMMISSION KVIC REP. BY ITS STATE DIRECTOR
RUPNAGAR
GUWAHATI- 781032.

4:DISTRICT INDUSTRIES CENTRES DIC
REP. BY ITS DISTRICT OFFICER BAMUNIMADAM
GUWAHATI-21.

5:KHADI AND VILAGE INDUSRIES BOARD
REP. BY ITS DISTRICT OFFICER
KAMRUP DISTRICT OFFICE KAMRUP
HENGABARI
GUWAHATI-36.

6:PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK
REP.BY ITS REGIONAL MANAGER
GUWAHATI.

7:THE BRANCH MANAGER

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK
BRANCH - KANIHA VILL and P.O. KANIHA
BLOCK RANGIA
DIST. KAMRUP
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.P K SHARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, KHADI and VILLAGE INDUSTRIES BOARD

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 09-02-2023

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners today on call. Ms. S. Sharma, learned Junior Government Advocate for the State respondent is

present.

2. The petitioners, 5 [five] in nos., have approached this Court by the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking *inter alia* a direction to the Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank, Kaniha Branch [the respondent no. 7] to accord sanction to the petitioners' projects for the Credit Linked Subsidy Programme under the Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programme [PMEGP] forthwith for the year : 2013 -2014. It has been stated that the PMEGP is a central sector scheme administered by the Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises [MoMSME] [the respondent no. 1]. At the State level, the PMEGP scheme is implemented through [i] State Khadi and Village Industries Commission [KVIC] [the respondent no. 3], [ii] District Industries Centres [DICs] [the respondent no. 4], [iii] State Khadi and Village Industries Boards [KVIBs] [the respondent no.5] and [iv] the concerned bank.

3. It has been projected in the writ petition that in the year 2014, the respondent no. 7 was informed by the respondent no. 5 that the projects of the petitioners had been recommended by the District Task Force Committee. Forwarding the projects, the respondent Bank was requested for consideration of the projects at their end. While forwarding the projects, the respondent no. 5 mentioned that the projects should be considered strictly on its merits, if found viable and bankable.

4. It is the case of the petitioners that despite the projects being forwarded, the respondent Bank did not accord sanction and extend any financial assistance to the petitioners.

5. On perusal of the previous orders, it is noticed that when the writ petition

was listed on earlier occasions i.e. on 15.05.2019 and 24.01.2023, none appeared for the petitioners on call on those occasions.

6. Having noticed repeated absence of the petitioners on three occasions, this Court is persuaded to take a view that the petitioners are not interested to pursue this writ petition. In such view of the matter, this writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant