

GAHC040016462023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No: Review Pet./13/2023

Satish Kumar Pandey

Age: 34

Occupation :

Address:S/o Uday Pratap Pandey

Permanent resident of Chhawani Mahalla Ward No. 9 Bhabhua

Near Nirmal Mills

PO and PS Bhabhua

District Kaimur

Bihar. Phone No. 9033701578

VERSUS

The State of AP and 5 Others

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:represented by the Commissioner and Secretary to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

Higher Education Deptt

Itanagar

Arunachal Pradesh.

2:The Commissioner and Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

Finance Deptt

Itanagar

Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:represented by its Secretary

Itanagar (A.P)

4:The University Grant Commission

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:represented by its Member Secretary
New Delhi.

5:Dheerendra Kr. Srivastava

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:C/O Office of the Secretary
Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission
Itanagar. (A.P)

6:Md. Abdul Latif

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:S/o Late Abdul Kuddus
Resident of village Bhowkamari
Post Office Kayakuchi
District Barpeta
Assam

Advocate for : Mudang Batt

Advocate for : appearing for The State of AP and 5 Others: Advocate ,

,

,

,

, appearing for 2,

3,

4,

5,

6, respectively.

**BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA**

ORDER

Date : 07.12.2023

Heard Mr. M. Batt, learned counsel for the review petitioner. Also heard Mr. S. Tapin, learned Senior Govt. Advocate appearing for respondent no.2. There is no representation from the respondent nos.1, 3 and 4.

2. This review petition is directed against the judgment and order dated 22.07.2022, passed by this Court in WP(C) 291(AP)/2019 on the ground that the name of the review petitioner is at Sl. No. 36 of the merit list from amongst persons with disabilities and that the respondent no.6, who was the petitioner in the said writ petition, his name appeared at Sl. No. 45. It is also projected that there is another person with disabilities, whose name appeared at Sl. No. 43, who also ranks above the respondent no.6.

3. The learned counsel for the review petitioner has also brought to the notice of the Court that this Court by order dated 05.12.2023, passed in Review Pet. No. 13(AP)/2022 had closed the review petition on the ground that the order under review would not come in the way of the Govt. in the Education Department to consider the entitlement to appointment strictly in order of merit and pass appropriate orders for selection and appointment of Assistant Professor, Hindi, 2019 Batch from amongst candidate short-listed by the APPSC.

4. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that in view of the order dated 05.12.2023, passed in review petition no. 13(AP)/2022, this review petition shall be closed, however, with liberty to make representation before the Education Department to bring to its notice that the name of the petitioner appears at Sl. No. 36, which is above the respondent no.6.

5. In view of order dated 05.12.2023, passed in review petition no. 13(AP)/2022, this review petition stands closed with liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation before the Director of Higher and Technical Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh to take into consideration the projection of the petitioner that his name appears at Sl. No. 36 of the merit list prepared by the APPSC for selection and appointment to the post of Assistant Professor, Hindi in respect of 2019 Batch.
6. With the aforesaid observation, this review petition stands closed.
7. The petitioner is at liberty to produce a certified copy of this order before the concerned authorities along with the representation, if so advised.
8. The learned counsel for the petitioner shall also provide a downloaded copy of this order to the learned standing counsel for the respondent nos.1, 3 and 4.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant