

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
WP(C) NO.788 OF 2022**

Tarun Chandra and ors.
Vs.
The State of Tripura and ors.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE (ACTING)

Present:

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. K. Chakraborty, Advocate.
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. N. Majumder, Advocate.
Mr. D. Sarkar, Advocate.

24.03.2023

Order

This instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the following reliefs:-

" i) Issue Rule, calling upon the Respondents and each one of them, to show cause as to why a Writ of Mandamus and/or in the nature thereof, shall not be issued, mandating/directing them to fix the pay of the petitioners, in the pay of Rs.4,200-8,650/- as per the Tripura State Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 1999, with effect from 01.01.1996;

ii) Issue Rule, calling upon the Respondents and each one of them, to show cause as to why a Writ of Mandamus and/or in the nature thereof, shall not be issued, mandating/directing them to fix the pay of the petitioners, in the pay scale of Rs.5, 310-24,000/- alongwith Grade Pay of Rs.2,100/- (subsequently) modified to Rs.5,700-24,000/- along with Grade Pay of Rs.2,800/-) as per the Tripura State Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2009 with effect from 01.01.2006.

iii) Issue Rule, calling upon the Respondents and each one of them, to show cause as to why a Writ of Mandamus and/or in the nature thereof, shall not be issued, mandating/directing them to fix the pay of the petitioners, in the basic pay of Rs.30,320/-(Pay Matrix Level-9) as per the Tripura State Civil Services(Revised Pay) Rules, 2017, with effect from 01.04.2017;

iv) Issue Rule, calling upon the Respondents and each one of them, to show cause as to why a Writ of Mandamus and/or in the nature thereof, shall not be issued, mandating/directing them to grant all the consequential benefits flowing therefrom, along with arrears thereof;

v) Call for the records, appertaining to this Writ Petition;

vi) After hearing the parties, be pleased to make the Rules absolute in terms of (i) to (iv) above;

vii) Costs of and incidental to this proceeding

viii) Any other Relief(s) as to this Hon'ble High Court may deem fit and proper;"

Heard Mr. K. Charaborty, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as Mr. N .Majumder, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-TSECL, and Mr. D. Sarkar, learned counsel appearing for respondent-State.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that his clients in compliance with the common Order dated 15.03.2021 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in bunch of Writ Appeals submitted detailed representations to the respondents, thereby elaborately expressing their grievance. But the said representation did not evoke any response from the respondents.

Accordingly, this instant writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the said representations submitted by the petitioners within a period of 2(two) months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. Petitioners are also at liberty to submit any materials in support of their contentions.

With the above observation and direction, this instant writ petition is disposed of. Pending application(s), if any, also stands closed.

CHIEF JUSTICE (ACTING)