

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C)No.451 of 2023

Wangkheimayum Modhuchandra, aged about 48 years, S/o. W.
Munal Singh of Yaithibi Khunou, Langthabal Khunou, PO Wangjing &
PS Khongjom, Thoubal District, Manipur **& 2 Ors.**

...Petitioners

- Versus -

The State of Manipur represented by the Principal
Secretary/Commissioner/Secretary(Revenue), Government of
Manipur, office at Secretariat (South Block), Babupara, PO & PS
Imphal, Imphal West, Manipur-795001 **& 5 Ors.**

...Respondents

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M.V.MURALIDARAN
ORDER

03.07.2023

1. Heard Mr. M. Rendy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned GA for the State respondents.
2. Mr. M. Rendy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has represented before this Court that the writ petition has been filed seeking the prayer as follows:

(i) To admit the present Writ Petition.

(ii) To issue rule nisi calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why prayer made by the petitioners shall not be granted in the facts and circumstances of the present Writ Petition.

(iii) To issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus/ Certiorari or any other appropriate Writs/ orders/ directions directing the respondents to finalise/ expedite/ complete the re-survey operation in the non-surveyed area i.e Langthabal Khunou, near 44-Yaithibi Khunou, Thoubal District, Manipur, in light of the letter bearing No. 100/11/Rev/2018-DSLR dated 21st October, 2019 (ANNEXURE-A/8) issued by the Director of Settlement and Land Records, Manipur without any further delay, in the facts and circumstances of the present Writ Petition.

(iv) To issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the respondents to consider and dispose of the reminder representation dated 13-03-2023 (AT ANNEXURE-A/9) submitted by the humble petitioners by issuing reason and speaking order within a stipulated period of time in the facts and circumstances of the present Writ Petition.

3. Mr. M. Rendy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners further argued the case that though the petitioners sought for larger prayers in the writ petition, they restricted their prayer in respect of the prayer (iv) alone and if this Court considers the petitioners' case by issuing appropriate direction to the respondents to pass appropriate speaking order on the petitioners' representation dated 13.03.2023 within stipulated period of time, the petitioners will be satisfied.

4. Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned GA for the State respondents represented that it is admitted fact that the petitioners have sought for

larger prayers in the writ petition but if this Court is inclined to issue direction to the respondents to consider the petitioners' representation dated 13.03.2023, the respondents are ready to pass appropriate speaking order provided that the respondents may be given some breathing time since the representation dated 13.03.2023 was given only on 13.03.2023.

5. Considering the nature of arguments advanced by both the parties and the prayer sought for by the petitioners in respect of the prayer No.(iv), I am inclined to pass the following orders:

(a) This writ petition is disposed of.

(b) The respondents are directed to consider and pass suitable order on petitioners' representation dated 13.03.2023(Annexure-A/9) submitted by the petitioners by issuing a reasonable and speaking orders.

(c) The said exercise shall be done within a period of 3(three) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

John Kom