

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

El. Petn. No. 13 of 2022

Ahanthem Shanjoy Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Sanasam Premchandra Singh & ors.

... Respondents

With

El. Recr. Petn. No. 7 of 2022;

El. Recr. Petn. No. 8 of 2022 &

MC(El. Petn.) No. 5 of 2023

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

16-03-2023

On the joint prayer made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, list these cases again on 30-03-2023 for further consideration.

JUDGE

Devananda

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

MC(EI. Petn.) No. 22 of 2023

(*Ref:-* El. Petn. No. 3 of 2022)

Ningthoujam Mangi

... Applicant

Vs.

Ahanthem Shanjoy Singh & ors.

... Respondents

With

MC(EI. Petn.) No. 7 of 2023

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

16-03-2023

Mr. Karung H. Kom, learned counsel appearing for the
contesting respondent No. 1 seeks one weeks' time for filing objection in
the present application.

As prayed for, list these cases again on 30-03-2023.

JUDGE

Devananda

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

MC(EI. Petn.) No. 23 of 2023

(Ref:- El. Petn. No. 13 of 2022)

Ningthoujam Mangi Singh

... Applicant

Vs.

Ahanthem Shanjoy Singh & ors.

... Respondents

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

16-03-2023

Heard Mr. Ajoy Pebam, learned counsel appearing for the applicant, Mr. Karung H. Kom, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 1, Mr. N. Mahendra, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 2 and Mr. Ch. Anthony, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 3. None appeared for the respondent No. 4.

The present application had been filed with a prayer for allowing the amendment of the cause title of the connected MC(EI. Petn.) No. 22 of 2023 as proposed in para 7 of the present application. The changes sought to be brought about is for deleting the name of the respondent No. 3 from the cause title of MC(EI. Petn.) No. 22 of 2023 and for re-numbering the Sl. No. 4 and 5 as respondents No. 3 and 4 respectively.

Mr. Karung H. Kom, learned counsel appearing for the contesting respondent No. 1 fairly submitted that since the said changes to be brought about is a minor one, he has no objection in allowing the present amendment application. Mr. N. Mahendra as well as Mr. Ch. Anthony, learned counsels also endorsed the submission made by Mr. Karung H. Kom.

After hearing the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and on careful perusal, this Court found sufficient ground for allowing the present application.

Accordingly, the present application is allowed. The learned counsel is directed to incorporate the proposed amendment in the connected misc. application during the course of the day.

With the aforesaid directions, the present application stands closed.

JUDGE

Devananda