

\$~ 24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 1279/2017**

HIMANSHU MEENA

.....Petitioner

Through:

Mr. Pradeep Teotia with Mr. Akash
Verma, Advocates.

Versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through:

Ms. Anita Abraham, APP with
IO, Avneesh Kumar, SI, PS. Farsh
Bazar.
Ms. Ruchi, Complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

%

ORDER

07.11.2017

1. By way of the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (hereinafter referred as "Cr.PC."), the petitioner seeks **grant of Anticipatory Bail** in FIR No. 0237/2017 under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred as "I.P.C.") registered at Farsh Bazar, Police Station.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant was working in the company of a person namely Ashwin where the petitioner was on visiting terms. That after making proposal of marriage, the petitioner made physical relations with the complainant. That on 03.11.2016, the petitioner and his family members came to the house of the complainant and fixed the marriage by performing the ceremony of

BAIL APPLN. 1279/2017

Page 1 of 3

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By:AMULYA

✓
'Roka'. Thereafter the petitioner started avoiding the complainant and threatened to kill her in case she made any complaint. Feeling aggrieved and cheated, complainant filed the present FIR.


3. On the last date of hearing, Mr. Pradeep Teotia, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and the complainant have solemnized marriage and the matter was fixed for today for verification of the marriage.
4. Ms. Anita Abraham, APP for the State submits that verification of the marriage has been done and as per the verification report the petitioner and the complainant have solemnized marriage on 30.10.2017 at Neeli Chhatri Mandir, Prachin Neeli Chhatri, Sanatan Dharam Vivah Pandit Trust, Yamuna Bazar, Delhi-06 and placed on record the marriage certificate as well as the photographs of the marriage.
5. Ms. Ruchi, complainant is also present, who affirmed the factum of marriage and gave her no objection for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
6. Keeping in view that the petitioner and the complainant have solemnized marriage, I find the present case fit to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant, subject to the following conditions:
 - i. i) That applicant shall furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO/Investigating Officer;

- 6
- ii) That the applicant shall not leave the National Capital Territory of Delhi without the prior permission of the Trial Court;
 - iii) That the applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any persons acquainted with the facts of the present case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any other authority.

It is made clear that violation of any of the above terms and conditions, the State is at liberty to seek cancellation of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Application stands disposed of.

Copy of the order be given dasti under the signatures of Court Master.


SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

NOVEMBER 07, 2017

gr//