

\$~23 to 25 ✓

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3043/2016 and CM No. 12836/2016**

TATA STEEL LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Achuthan Sreekumar and Mr
Karan, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Kirtiman Singh, Mr Waize Ali
Noor, Mr Prateek Dhanda and Mr
Momin Khan, Advocates.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 3067/2016 and CM Nos. 12987/2016 & 27361/2016**

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS
ASSOCIATION**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Chander M. Lall, Senior Advocate
with Ms Nancy Roy and Mr Rupin
Bahl, Advocates.

versus

**THE CONTROLLER GENERAL OF PATENTS,
DESIGNS & TRADE MARKS & ANR**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Manish Mohan, CGSC with Ms
Shivangi Sinha and Ms Manisha
Saroha, Advocates for UOI.

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 3811/2016**

JANMAEJAI VADEHRA & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Jasmeet Singh, CGSC with Mr
Srivats Kaushal, Advocate for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

14.11.2017

1. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that respondent no.2 (Registrar of Trademarks) had *en masse* declared several applications as abandoned. This was plainly contrary to the provisions of the Act. Respondent no.2 has now restored all of the applications and same are being dealt with in accordance with law.
2. In view of the above statement, the learned counsel for the petitioners does not wish to press these petitions.
3. The petitions and all pending applications are dismissed as withdrawn.



VIBHU BAKHRU, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2017
RK