

\$~22

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Judgment delivered on: 27.10.2016

+

W.P.(C) 7579/2016 and CM No.31235/2016

MASTER SUMIT SINGH

..... Petitioner

versus

BLOOM PUBLIC SCHOOL & ORS.

..... Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner

Mr Yash Prakash, Advocate

For the Respondents

: Mr. Devesh Singh, Advocate for GNCTD.

CORAM:-

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

JUDGMENT

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced a certificate of income dated 05.09.2016. The same is taken on record. A copy of the same has already been furnished to the counsel for the respondent, who submits that the same has been verified by the department.

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking quashing of letter dated 09.02.2016 whereby the admission of the petitioner has been cancelled and name of the petitioner has been struck off from the rolls of the school on the ground that income certificate furnished at the time of seeking admission was fake and forged.

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 27.09.2024 16:59:40
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

8

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the income of the parents of the petitioner is less than Rs. One lakh per annum which is below the prescribed limit for the Economically Weaker Section (EWS) category. Fresh certificate dated 05.09.2016 has been filed along with the petition. The respondent no. 2 was directed to verify the correctness of the certificate. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits that the certificate has been verified and the authorities have report that the said certificate has been issued by their department.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies on various judgments passed by this Court in similar circumstances whereby the school authorities were directed not to cancel the admission of the minor child on the ground of misdeeds of the father of the child. By order dated 29.08.2016, the respondent school was directed to keep one seat vacant in Economically Weaker Section category. Notice of the petition has been served on respondent No.1. However, none appears on their behalf. One such Judgment relied upon is dated 22.03.2016 in W.P.(C) 2219/2016, titled as **'Master Jai Raikwar & Ors. Vs. The Heritage School & Ors.'**

5. As the issue involves the education of a minor and the minor falls in the eligible category and an income certificate certifying the said fact has been furnished and no fault can be attributed to the minor, in the facts of the case, a lenient view is required to be taken. It is thus directed that the admission of the petitioner be restored and not cancelled, subject to deposit of a penalty of Rs. 5,000/- by the father of the petitioner with the Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Hospital, Delhi within two weeks. The hospital shall utilize the amount for providing treatment to the persons falling

9

under the EWS category.

6. The petitioner shall also be entitled to all the benefits/entitlements under the said category. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

7. It is clarified that if the new income certificate furnished by the petitioner is found to be fictitious or not correct on any account, it shall be open to the respondents to cancel the admission of the petitioner in accordance with law and no special equity shall be claimed by the petitioner by virtue of the present order.

Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.

OCTOBER 27, 2016
'SV'

