

\$~3, 4 & 12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 699/2016

SARITA @ SARITA KHOKHAR Petitioner

Through : Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate with
Mr.Praveer Singh, Advocate.

versus

STATE Respondent

Through : Ms.Meenakshi Dahiya, APP.
Ms.Vagisha Kochar, Advocate for the
complainant.

+ BAIL APPLN. 701/2016 & CRL.M.A.No.17498/16

DHANPATI @ DHANPATI KHOKHAR Petitioner

Through : Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate with
Mr.Praveer Singh, Advocate.

versus

STATE Respondent

Through : Ms.Meenakshi Dahiya, APP.
Ms.Vagisha Kochar, Advocate for the
complainant.

AND

+ BAIL APPLN. 804/2016

SATENDER @ SATENDER KHOKHAR Petitioner

Through : Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate with
Mr.Praveer Singh, Advocate.

versus

STATE Respondent

Through : Ms.Meenakshi Dahiya, APP.
Ms.Vagisha Kochar, Advocate for the
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

BAIL APPLN. 699/2016 & connected matters.

Page 1 of 2

6

ORDER

% **09.11.2016**

1. The petitioners seek anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.239/2016 registered under Sections 323/341/354B/506/34 IPC at PS Alipur. Status report is on record.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties including the counsel for the complainant and have examined the file. By an order dated 01.04.2016, the petitioners (Sarita @ Sarita Khokhar and Dhanpati @ Dhanpati Khokhar) and vide order dated 22.04.2016, the petitioner – Satender @ Satender Khokhar, were granted interim protection and were directed to join the investigation as and when required. Undisputedly, the petitioners have joined the investigation since then. Injuries sustained by victim are 'simple' in nature. It is informed that on the date of incident the complainant who is closely related to the petitioners did not lodge any report. She declined to get herself medically examined. There is delay in lodging the FIR on 23.03.2016. In the initial written complaint dated 22.03.2016, the petitioner did not level any allegations of outraging her modesty.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail and in the event of arrest, they be released on furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹ 40,000/- with one surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating officer. They shall, however, join the investigation as and when required.

4. The bail applications stand disposed of. All pending applications also stand disposed of.

NOVEMBER 09, 2016 /tr


S.P.GARG, J.