

\$~47 & 50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 480/2015 & CM APPL.18883-84/2015

SUDESH GULATI

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Anita Sahani, Advocate with
Mr. Shivom Garg, Adv. &
Ms. Seema Saini, Adv.

Versus

DR CHARANJEET GANDHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through:

+ RC.REV. 483/2015 & CM APPL.18891-92/2015

SUDESH GULATI

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Anita Sahani, Advocate with
Mr. Shivom Garg, Adv. &
Ms. Seema Saini, Adv.

Versus

PARVINDER SINGH

..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.K. SHALI

ORDER

%

09.09.2015

1. After brief submission, the learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that she is not pressing the present revision petitions in case a direction is given to the learned Additional Rent Controller (ARC) for expeditious disposal of the petition.
2. Having regard to the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, both the petitions are dismissed as not pressed. However, it is expected that the learned ARC shall decide the eviction petitions as

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared the
digital data is as per the physical file

expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of one year.

3. With these directions both the revision petitions stand disposed of.
4. Pending applications also stand disposed of.
5. Copy of the order be given *Dasti* to the learned counsel for the petitioner. A copy of the order be also sent to the learned ARC for information.



V.K. SHALI, J.

SEPTEMBER 09, 2015

vk
LT

✓