

24

\$~51 to 53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

51+ W.P.(C) No. 4363/2015

SHYAM PRIVATE INDUSTRIAL TRAINING
INSTITUTE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ratish Kumar, Adv.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT
& TRAINING (DGE&T) & ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhay Prakash Sahay, Adv. for
R-1.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, Adv. for R-2.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Mr. Ankit
Shah and Mr. Puneet Parihar, Adv.
for R-3/State of Rajasthan.

AND

52+ W.P.(C) No. 7078/2015 & CM No.12971/2015 (for interim direction).

BANSUR PRIVATE INDUSTRIAL
TRAINING INSTITUTE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ratish Kumar, Adv.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING
(DGE&T), MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT &
ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj, CGSC for R-1.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, Adv. for R-2.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Mr. Ankit
Shah and Mr. Puneet Parihar, Adv.
for R-3/State of Rajasthan.

AND

53+ W.P.(C) No. 7092/2015 & CM No.12994/2015 (for interim direction).

ARAVALI PRIVATE INDUSTRIAL TRAINING
INSTITUTE

..... Petitioner

Page 1 of 4

25

Through: Mr. Ratish Kumar, Adv.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT
& TRAINING & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj, CGSC for R-1.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Adv. for R-2.
Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Mr. Ankit
Shah and Mr. Puneet Parihar, Adv.
for R-3/State of Rajasthan.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

02.09.2015

%

1. The counsel for the petitioners states that the respondent No.3 Govt. of State of Rajasthan, vide letter dated 31st August, 2015 addressed to the respondent No.1 Union of India (UOI) and enclosing a copy of the Inter-Departmental letter also dated 31st August, 2015, has permitted consideration of all applications for accreditation received on or before 20th January, 2015, subject to the applicant institutions commencing imparting education in at least four trades, by August, 2017. It is further stated that the petitioner in all the three petitions had submitted the applications for accreditation before 20th January, 2015 and are agreeable to the condition subject to which consideration of their application has been permitted and thus the petitioner in each of the petitions is entitled to accreditation / consideration for accreditation.
2. The counsel for the respondent No.3 State of Rajasthan confirms the said position.

JK

3. The counsel for the respondent No.2 National Accreditation Board for Education & Training (NABET) states that though it is bound by the directions of the respondent No.1 UOI but the process of grant of accreditation for the present session is already over and it is for the respondent No.1 UOI to take a decision on the communications aforesaid of the respondent No.3 State of Rajasthan and whether, in pursuance thereto, the petitioners herein are to be considered for accreditation.

4. Mr. Abhay Prakash Sahay, counsel for the respondent No.1 UOI in W.P.(C) No.4363/2015 states that in view of the aforesaid stand of the respondent No.3 State of Rajasthan, the respondent No.2 NABET would be entitled to consider the applications for accreditation of the petitioners.

5. However, Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj, Advocate appearing for the respondent No.1 UOI in the other two matters states that the respondent No.1 UOI would have to take a decision on the aforesaid communications of the respondent No.3 State of Rajasthan. The counsel for the respondent No.2 NABET also joins in the said contention.

6. The counsel for the petitioners controverts that the time is over. It is stated that the last date for admission is 17th September, 2015.

7. At this stage, Mr. Dev. P. Bhardwaj, Advocate also states that the process of consideration for accreditation can begin.

8. It is obvious that the respondent No.2 NABET now has the concurrence of the respondent No.1 UOI to proceed with the consideration of the applications of the petitioners for accreditation.

27

9. Accordingly, these petitions are disposed of directing the respondents No.1&2 to, in accordance with law and subject to the petitioners / applicants giving undertaking to commence imparting training qua at least four trades by August, 2017 and complying with all the requisite formalities / requirements, consider the applications of the petitioners for accreditation, within the shortest possible time, so that the petitioners, if found entitled to accreditation, are able to admit students in the current academic year.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of the Court Master.

SEPTEMBER 02, 2015
'bs'

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.