

\$~19, 20 & 21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

(i) + **CRL.M.C. 2752/2014**

JATINDER MADAN Petitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Jain, Advocate

versus

STATE & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr.G.M. Farooqui, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State with SI R. S. Pandit
Mr. Anil Sapra, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Ankur Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2

(ii) + **CRL.M.C. 5604/2014**

JATINDER MADAN Petitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Jain, Advocate

versus

STATE & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr.P.L. Sharma, Additional Public
Prosecutor for respondent-State
with SI R.S. Pandit
Mr. Anil Sapra, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Ankur Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.7

(iii) + **CRL.M.C. 5373/2014**

JATINDER MADAN Petitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Jain, Advocate

versus

STATE & ORS Respondents

CRL.M.Cs. 2752, 5604 & 5373 of 2014

Page 1

9

Through: Mr.G.M. Farooqui, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State with SI R. S. Pandit
Mr. Anil Sapra, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Ankur Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.7

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER
04.09.2015

%

In the above captioned three petitions, cancellation of pre-arrest bail granted to respondent-accused persons is sought by petitioners.

Since these petitions pertain to one FIR, therefore, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, these three petitions were heard together and by this common order, they are being disposed of.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that respondent-accused persons are misusing the concession of pre-arrest bail granted to them as they are extending threats to petitioner and so, the concession of pre-arrest bail extended to them, deserves to be withdrawn.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutors for respondent-State submit that the challan in this FIR case has been already filed and the threat perception does not stand substantiated.

Upon hearing and on perusal of the FIR in question and the status report, I find that the threat perception as alleged by petitioner does not stand substantiated and as such, no ground to

10
cancel the pre-arrest bail granted to respondent-accused persons is made out in these petitions. Accordingly, the above-captioned three petitions are dismissed.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 04, 2015

vn