

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 378/2013, C.M. APPL.12822/2013 (for condonation of delay), 12823/2013 (for stay) & 12824/2013 (for exemption)**

SARDAR BALVINDER SINGH & ANR Appellants
Through : Sh. Mohit Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

SH. P.N. MALHOTRA & ORS Respondents
Through : Sh. Jitender Chaudhary, Advocate, for
Defendant Nos. 1, 2 and 3/Caveator.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BIAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

23.08.2013

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the respondents who appears on advance notice submitted that the property in question has since been sold as the impugned order had relieved it of the rigours of Section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, and that he would be disclosing the same in the course of the proceedings before the learned Single Judge.


In the light of this development and after some submissions, Sh. Mohit Chaudhary, Advocate sought liberty to withdraw the appeal but at the same time approach the learned Single Judge for appropriate orders in the light of the statement made by the respondents/defendants through his counsel.

Liberty granted.

It is open to the appellant to seek suitable orders in the light of the

statement made by the defendants/respondents. The appeal is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn but with liberty as stated.

AUGUST 23, 2013
'ajk'


S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

NAJMI WAZIRI, J