

96

\$~R-26 to 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ (1) **CRL.M.C.2900/2007 & Crl. M.A. No. 10342/2007**

BLUE COAST HOTELS AND RESORTS LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. R. N. Mittal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Mr. Gurpreet
Singh, Mr. Mudit Jain, Mr. Sugam Puri,
Mr. Ankit Goel & Mr. Ashish Dhingra,
Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State
Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey,
Mr. A.S. Rathore & Mrs. Suraj
Prakash, Advocates for respondent
No.2

+ (2) **CRL.M.C.2901/2007 & Crl. M.A. No. 10344/2007**

BLUE COAST HOTELS AND RESORTS LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. R. N. Mittal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Mr.
Gurpreet Singh, Mr. Mudit Jain,
Mr. Sugam Puri,
Mr. Ankit Goel & Mr. Ashish
Dhingra, Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-

Crl. M.C. Nos. 2900/2007; 2901/2007; 2902/2007; 2903/2007
2904/2007 & 2905/2007

Page 1

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 11.09.2024 7:10:51
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

97

State
Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey,
Mr. A.S. Rathore & Mrs. Suraj
Prakash, Advocates for respondent
No.2

+ (3) **CRL.M.C.2902/2007 & CrI. M.A. No. 10346/2007**

MOREPEN LABORATORIES LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. R. N. Mittal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Mr. Gurpreet
Singh, Mr. Mudit Jain, Mr. Sugam Puri,
Mr. Ankit Goel & Mr. Ashish Dhingra,
Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State
Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey,
Mr. A.S. Rathore & Mrs. Suraj Prakash,
Advocates for respondent No.2

+ (4) **CRL.M.C.2903/2007 & CrI. M.A. No. 10348/2007**

MOREPEN LABORATORIES Petitioner
Through: Mr. R. N. Mittal,
Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Mr. Gurpreet
Singh, Mr. Mudit Jain, Mr. Sugam Puri,
Mr. Ankit Goel & Mr. Ashish Dhingra,
Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

af

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State
Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey,
Mr. A.S. Rathore & Mrs. Suraj
Prakash, Advocates for respondent
No.2

+ (5) CRL.M.C.2904/2007 & Crl. M.A. No. 10350/2007

M/S. MOREPEN LABORATORIES LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. R. N. Mittal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Mr.
Gurpreet Singh, Mr. Mudit Jain,
Mr. Sugam Puri,
Mr. Ankit Goel & Mr. Ashish
Dhingra, Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State
Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey,
Mr. A.S. Rathore & Mrs. Suraj
Prakash, Advocates for respondent
No.2

+ (6) CRL.M.C.2905/2007 & Crl. M.A. No. 10352/2007

M/S. MOREPEN LABORATORIES LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. R. N. Mittal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Vijay Aggarwal,
Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Mr. Mudit
Jain, Mr. Sugam Puri,
Mr. Ankit Goel & Mr. Ashish
Dhingra, Advocates

ag

versus

STATE OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State
Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey,
Mr. A.S. Rathore & Mrs. Suraj
Prakash, Advocates for respondent
No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

17:07.2013

At the outset, Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, learned counsel for petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the above-captioned petitions with a prayer that trial court be directed to expeditiously dispose of petitioner's application under Section 210 Cr.P.C., which is pending for a long time. Learned counsel for parties submit that they will seek preponing of hearing of aforesaid application.


If any such application is moved by the parties, then trial court shall make all the endeavors to dispose of application under Section 210 of Cr.P.C. expeditiously. That is to say, within three months from today. It is made clear that neither side will take adjournment when this application is taken up for hearing and if applicant/petitioner seeks any adjournment, then the trial court will not be bound to decide the

100

application within the above stipulated time.

The afore-captioned petitions and applications are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh petitions, if so required.

These six petitions and the applications are disposed of in aforesaid terms.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

JULY 17, 2013

r