

11

\$~15. & 16.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 390/1999 and CRL.M.C. 2698/2012

DILSHAD

..... Appellant/Petitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Vohra, Advocate with the
wife of the appellant, Ms. Taira in person.
versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Addl. PP with
SI Hukum Singh, PS: Seema Puri and SI Sunil
Sharma, PS: Gokul Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

ORDER

%

24.07.2013

1. As per the nominal roll of the appellant/petitioner, he has undergone sentence for a period of eight years, four months and seven days and earned remission for a period of one year, five months and ten days and thus his unexpired portion of sentence is two months and ten days (IFP).
2. Counsel for the appellant/petitioner states on instructions from the wife of the appellant/petitioner that the appellant/petitioner does not wish to pursue the present appeal and the Crl.M.C in view of the limited period of the unexpired portion of sentence.
3. In view of the aforesaid submission, the present appeal and the Crl.MC are disposed of.



HIMA KOHLI, J

JULY 24, 2013/rkb

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 09.09.2024 17:10:53
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.