

28, 29 & 33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 1362/2013, BAIL APPLN. 1363/2013 and
BAIL APPLN. 1367/2013

JATAN PAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

BABU SINGH

..... Petitioner

SHARVAN KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Madan Lal, Advocate

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through : Mr. Naveen Sharma, APP for State
with IO.

Complainant in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

ORDER

%

31.07.2013

1. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners under Section 438 read with Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. praying *inter alia* for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.200/2013 registered under Sections 376/506/34 IPC at PS Vasant Kunj (N), Delhi.

2. Counsel for the petitioners states that the complainant happens to be the sister-in-law of the petitioners in Bail Applications No.1362/2013 and 1363/2013 and the sister-in-law of the sister of the petitioner in Bail Application No.1367/2013. He states that the

Bail Applications No.1362/2013, 1363/2013 & 1367/2013

Page 1 of 3

2✓

complainant had got married to the youngest brother of the petitioners, Babu Singh and Mr. Jatan Pal Singh, ten years ago and all the parties have been residing in a common premises owned by the mother of the parties, Smt. Santosh Devi. He submits that there exists a family dispute in respect of the aforesaid property and the present complaint is only an offshoot of the said dispute lodged in order to falsely implicate the petitioners. He submits that his clients are ready and willing to participate in the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

3. Learned APP for the State submits that investigation in the present matter is being conducted and the complainant has admitted in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. that there is a dispute with regard to the aforesaid immovable property due to which she was upset and had therefore lodged the present FIR. He further states that the complainant was requested to undergo a medical examination, but she had declined to do so. In support of the aforesaid submissions, he hands over some documents produced by the IO that have been perused by the Court.

4. In view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, it is directed that in the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be

3

released on bail on their furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- each with one surety each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the investigating officer/arresting officer. Needless to state that the petitioners shall fully cooperate in the investigation and will not create any hindrance or impediment during the course of investigation.

5. The petitions are disposed of.

DASTI.



HIMA KOHLI, J

JULY 31, 2013
sk/rkb