

\$~40, 41, 45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 4168/2013
NAVEEN SUHAG

..... Petitioner
Through: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sunil
Kumar, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL BOARD OF EXAMINATIONS

..... Respondent
Through: Dr. Rakesh Gosain, Adv. for NBE
+ W.P.(C) 4169/2013
RUCHI HOODA

..... Petitioner
Through: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sunil
Kumar, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL BOARD OF EXAMINATION MEDICAL ENCLAVE &
ORS

..... Respondent
Through: Dr. Rakesh Gosain, Adv. for NBE
+ W.P.(C) 4174/2013
ANIL SOLANKI

..... Petitioner
Through: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sunil
Kumar, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL BOARD OF EXAMINATIONS & ORS

..... Respondent
Through: Dr. Rakesh Gosain, Adv. for NBE

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.K. JAIN

ORDER

%

05.07.2013

The petitioners before this Court appeared in National Eligibility-cum-Entrance Test for Post Graduate Course conducted by respondent no.1 National Board of Examination (NBE). The examination conducted by respondent no.1 is

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date:20.09.2024 17:08:05
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

✓

valid for admission against All India Quota, State Quota as well as Institution Quota. The petitioners applied under the Category of OBC candidates and were issued admission tickets accordingly. However, in the result declared by respondent no.1, their names appear as unreserved category candidates. This, according to the learned counsel for the respondent no.1, was done because the category to which the petitioners belong does not find inclusion in the list of OBCs notified by Central Government. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.1 states that it is only for the purpose of admission against All India Quota that the petitioners are being treated as candidates from the general category. For admission against State Quota, the petitioners would still be eligible if they belong to a category included in the list of OBCs notified by the State Government. The learned counsel for the respondent no.2 Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University states that for admission against the seats in State Quota, they are relying upon both the lists i.e. the list of OBCs notified by Central Government as well as the List of OBCs notified by Government of NCT of Delhi. He further states that at the time of considering the admission of the petitioners against the seats in State Quota, they would consider them as OBC candidates in case they belongs to a category included in the list of OBCs notified by Govt. of NCT of Delhi and subject to necessary verification in this regard. The learned counsel for the respondent no.2 states that necessary verification will be carried out at the time of counselling as per the practice of respondent no.2.

In view of the statements made by the learned counsel for the respondent no.1 and 2, no further order needs to be passed in these petitions, which stand disposed of. No orders as to costs.

Dasti.

V.K. JAIN, J

JULY05, 2013/rd