

\$~35 & 43

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2164/2013

BIRENDER SINGH & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Vikas Yadav and Mr. Vikas Bharti  
and Mr. R.K. Guar, Advs.

versus

JITENDER SINGH & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Navin Sharma, APP for the State.  
SI S.S. Chahal, PS Palam Village.

AND

+ CRL.M.C. 2178/2013

JITENDER SINGH & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Vikas Yadav and Mr. Vikas Bharti  
and Mr. R.K. Guar, Advs.

versus

BIRENDER SINGH & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Navin Sharma, APP for the  
State.  
SI S.S. Chahal, PS Palam  
Village.

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 17.09.2024 17:00:46  
Certify that the digital and physical file have  
been compared and the digital data is as per  
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAILASH GAMBHIR**

**ORDER**  
% **24.05.2013**

These two separate petitions have been filed by the petitioners to seek quashing of two cross FIRs arising out of the same incident. In Crl.M.C. No. 2164/2013 petitioners/accused seek quashing of FIR No. 77/2011 registered under Sections 308/34 IPC at P.S. Palam Village, Delhi and in Crl.M.C. No. 2178/2013 petitioners/accused seek quashing of FIR No. 78/2011 registered under Sections 452/323/34 IPC registered at P.S. Palam Village, Delhi.

Both the parties are present in person. IO of this case is also present. Counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that both the parties have amicably settled their disputes with the intervention of the common friends and relatives and in terms of the said settlement they have agreed not to seek prosecution of each other in the said FIRs. Counsel also submits that in view the said settlement arrived at between the parties, these parties have no grievance of any kind against each other. Counsel thus prays that based on said settlement arrived at between the parties, this Court may quash the said FIRs.

Heard.

Since the inter se disputes between the parties have been amicably settled and the complainants do not wish to pursue the present FIRs, therefore, I am of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the said FIRs and the proceedings arising therefrom against the petitioners alive any further. Consequently, the FIR No. 77/2011 registered under Sections 308/34 IPC at P.S. Palam Village, Delhi and FIR No. 78/2011 registered under Sections 452/323/34 IPC at P.S. Palam Village, Delhi and the criminal proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to deposit of cost of Rs. 10,000/- each by petitioners No. 2 to 4 in both the cases with Delhi Bar Association, Tis Hazari Courts within a period of two weeks from the date of this order.

The petitions stand disposed of accordingly.



**KAILASH GAMBHIR, J**

**MAY 24, 2013**  
rkr