

\$~76 & 4.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2054/2013

MOHD.NASEEM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Dushyant Swaroop & Mr.Yogesh
Swroop, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Navin Sharma, APP for State with
SI Shan Singh, PW EOW
Mr.P.K.Mittal, Advocate for R-2
along with R-2 in person

+ CRL.M.C. 4290/2012

RAJESH NANDWANI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rahul Vidhani, Advocate

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Navin Sharma, APP for State with
SI Shan Singh, PW EOW
Mr.P.K.Mittal, Advocate for R-2
along with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAILASH GAMBHIR

ORDER

% **17.05.2013**

By this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.68/2009 registered under Section 63 of the Copyright Act, 1957 (Amendment Acts, 1984 & 1994) at P.S. Economic Offences Wing, Crime & Railway, Delhi and all the criminal proceedings arising

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date:09.09.2024 17:10:53
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

therefrom.

The parties are present in person in the Court along with their respective counsel. They are duly identified by the IO of the present case who is also present in the Court.

It is stated by the learned counsel for the parties that with the intervention of common friends and relatives of the respective parties, the petitioners and respondent No.2 have amicably settled all their *inter se* disputes. The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that as the petitioners and respondent No.2 have amicably settled all their *inter se* disputes, respondent No.2 is left with no claim or grievance of any nature against the petitioners, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by continuing the criminal proceedings against the petitioners. The counsel, therefore, prays for quashing of the FIR and all subsequent proceedings arising out from the same.

Respondent No.2 (Rajnish Pawari) is the complainant in the said FIR who is also present in the Court with his counsel. He confirms the factum of amicable settlement with the petitioners and filed affidavits in support of quashing of the FIR in both the petitions. He has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed against the petitioners.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the aforesaid amicable settlement arrived at between the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuing the criminal proceedings against the petitioners, as the same would only be an exercise in futility and wastage of precious time of the Court.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.68/2009 registered under Section 63 of the Copyright Act, 1957 (Amendment Acts, 1984 &

8

1994) at P.S. Economic Offences Wing, Crime & Railway, Delhi and all the proceedings arising therefrom as against the petitioner are quashed.



KAILASH GAMBHIR, J.

MAY 17, 2013

v