

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8307/2009**

M/S AMBASSDOR COMPANY

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raj Rishi, Adv. along with
proprietor of petitioner company in
person

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjoy Ghose with Mohd. Farrukh,
Adv. for R-2 along with respondent No.2
in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL

ORDER

%

21.05.2013

W.P.(C) No.8307/2009

It is stated that both the parties have settled the present case.

The Labour Court vide the impugned award has given compensation in favour of the respondent/workman. The parties have settled the compensation amount at ₹2,25,000/-. Respondent is present in person along with his counsel who has agreed to the aforesaid amount in full and final settlement of all his claims with the petitioner/management arising out of I.D. No.8/2002.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that as the petitioner company is lying closed, the proprietor of the petitioner company be given some time

W.P.(C) 8307/2009

Page 1 of 2

25
to make arrangement for the payment.

In view of above settlement between the parties, let the petitioner make 50% of the payment i.e. ₹1,12,500/- by the first week of July, 2013 to the respondent/workman through his counsel. The remaining 50% be given by the first week of August, 2013. The impugned award stands modified in terms of settlement between the parties.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

CM No. 5128/2009 (stay)

In view of the above order, no orders are required on this application.

The same stands disposed of accordingly.

MAY 21, 2013
kks

Veena

VEENA BIRBAL, J

cm 11397/13 for Direction