

3
§-6 & 7

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ BAIL APPLN. 550/2013

RAJU SAWANT

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Kumar Saryal &
Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State with SI Amarpreet

+ BAIL APPLN. 706/2013

KARTAR SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Kumar Saryal &
Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State with SI Amarpreet

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

08.07.2013

%

Petitioners' in the above-captioned two petitions, claiming to be Collection Agent of *M/s Asija Security Pvt. Ltd. & Rachit Financial Pvt. Ltd.*, are seeking regular bail in FIR No. 16/2013, registered under Sections 420/406/468/471/506/34 r/w Sec. 120B of the IPC, at police station Jahangirpuri, Delhi.

Bail Application No. 550/2013
Bail Application No. 706 /2013

Page 1

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By:AMULYA

4

While entertaining these applications, petitioners were directed to join the investigation. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State submits that petitioners have joined the investigation but out of four TCRs, only one has been recovered and the remaining three TCRs could not be recovered from the addresses furnished by the petitioners.

Considering the status report filed, the stand of petitioners, as disclosed in Annexures P-14 & P-17, and without commenting on the merits of this case, interim orders of 5th April, 2013 (in Bail Application No.550/2013) and 29th April, 2013 (in Bail Application No. 706/2013) are made absolute. Let petitioners join the investigation of this case as and when called by notice in writing. In the event of arrest, petitioners-Raju Sawant, son of Shri H.S.Sawant and Kartar Singh, son of Shri Khem Chand be admitted to bail on their furnishing bail bond in the sum of ₹30,000/- with one surety each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/ Arresting Officer.

Needless to say that if petitioners do not join and cooperate with the investigation of this case, then respondent-State would be at liberty to get this order revoked.

Above captioned two applications are disposed of with aforesaid directions.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

JULY 08, 2013

r