

\$~ 8, 9 & 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 536/2013**

RAMJANO Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sameer Chandra , Advocate

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI Respondent
Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State with SI Mukesh

+ **BAIL APPLN. 537/2013**

NAJISH PARVEEN Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sameer Chandra , Advocate

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI Respondent
Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State with SI Mukesh

+ **BAIL APPLN. 538/2013**

NARGIS Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sameer Chandra , Advocate

versus

Bail Application No.536/2013
Bail Application No.537/2013
Bail Application No.538/2013

Page 1

STATE NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Additional
Public Prosecutor for respondent-
State with SI Mukesh

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

18.07.2013

%

Petitioners are the mother and two daughters of main accused Yunish Khan, who is in custody. While entertaining the above-captioned three applications, interim protection was granted to petitioners subject to their joining investigation.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State confirms that petitioners have joined the investigation of this case. The case of petitioners appear to be at par with co-accused Kheru Nisha, who has been granted pre-arrest interim bail by this Court and it is confirmed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court (in Bail Application No. 535/2013).

In the light of above, interim order of 3rd April, 2013 in the above-captioned three applications is made absolute. Without commenting on the merits of the case, it is directed that in the event of arrest, petitioners- Ram Jano, wife of late Yusuf Khan, Najish Parveen & Nargis, two daughters of Yusnis Khan, be admitted to bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of ₹30,000/- with one local surety each, to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/ Arresting Officer.

Needless to say, if petitioners do not join and cooperate with the

Bail Application No.536/2013

Bail Application No.537/2013

Bail Application No.538/2013


Page 2

7

investigation, then respondent- State would be at liberty to get this order revoked.

The above captioned three applications are disposed of in aforesaid terms.

Dasti.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

JULY 18, 2013

r