

\$~18. to 21.

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 385/2013, 388/2013, 394/2013 and 395/2013

MANMOHAN TIWARI ..... Petitioner in W.P.(CRL) 385/2013  
PUNEET GUPTA ..... Petitioner in W.P.(CRL) 388/2013  
SANDEEP ARORA ..... Petitioner in W.P.(CRL) 394/2013  
VIKAS MALLAN ..... Petitioner in W.P.(CRL) 395/2013

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Naidu, Advocate

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Charu Dalal, Advocate for  
Mr. Saleem Ahmed, ASC for the State with  
SI Sarvesh Kumar, PS: Connaught Place.  
Mr.(appearance not given), Advocate for  
respondents No.2 and 3 in person.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI**

**ORDER**  
**25.07.2013**

%

1. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners praying *inter alia* for quashing of FIR No.67/2012 lodged by the respondent No.3 under Sections 409/420/120B IPC at Police Station: Connaught Place.

2. On the last date of hearing, notice had been issued to the respondents No.2 and 3 and a status report was called for.

Appearance is entered by the counsels for the respondents No.2 and

3. A status report has been filed by the State, wherein it has

***W.P.(CRL) 385/2013, 388/2013, 394/2013 and 395/2013***

***Page 1 of 2***

been submitted that investigations have been carried out and all the persons mentioned in the complaint were summoned to join the investigation. A draft charge-sheet has been prepared and is being forwarded to the Prosecution Branch for scrutiny.

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that the charge-sheet does not mention the name of any of the petitioners herein as no evidence has come on record against them and further, no role has been assigned to them in the commission of the crime.

4. In view of the aforesaid status report, counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the present petitions.

5. Leave, as prayed for, is granted. The petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.



**HIMA KOHLI, J**

**JULY 25, 2013**

rkb