Signature Not Verified
Signed By:RAM DATT
Signing Date:12.09.2024 11:03
Certify that the digital and physical file have been compared and the digital data is as per the physical file and no page is missing.

$~15-31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ **W.P.(C) 5944/2010 and CM No. 7007/2013**

RAMBIR SINGH ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. Digvijay Rai, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

\+ **W.P.(C) 188/2011 and CM No. 3876/2012**

SHIV DUTT ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. Prasoon Kumar, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DLEHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

\+ **W.P.(C) 3126/2011 and CM No. 3774/2012**

RANJIT SINGH ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate
versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

\+ **W.P.(C) 3647/2011 and CM No. 3715/2012**

PREM SINGH ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate
versus

GNCT OF DELHI AND ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

61

\+ **W.P.(C) 4153/2011 and CM No. 3770/2012**

ANAND PAL ..... Petitioner

Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI ANR. ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

\+ **W.P.(C) 4744/2011 and CM No. 4345/2012**

OM PRAKASH ..... Petitioner

Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR. ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

\+ **W.P.(C) 7196/2011 and CM Nos. 4349-4350/2012**

SUBHASH CHAND ..... Petitioner

Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

\+ **W.P.(C) 3583/2011 and CM No. 3764/2012**

OM PAL ..... Petitioner

Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GNCT OF DELHI AND ANR ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 4136/2011 and CM No. 3772/2012**

SULTAN SINGH ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 5352/2011 and CM No. 4035/2012**

OM PAL ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 6022/2011 and CM No. 3717/2012**

PREM RAJ ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 6023/2011 and CM No. 3710/2012**

GIRI RAJ ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 6024/2011 and CM No. 3766/2012**

63

BEG RAJ ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 6074/2011 and CM No. 19655/2012**

ISHWAR SINGH ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 6128/2011 and CM No. 19654/2012**

JITO KAUR ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 6147/2011 and CM No. 20084/2012**

KASHMIR SINGH ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

+ **W.P.(C) 6175/2011 and CM No. 3768/2012**

64

BHAGWANT SINGH ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. N.S. Dalal, Advocate

versus

GOVT. NCT & ANR ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv. for GNCTD

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.K. JAIN

ORDER

% 31.07.2013

The petitioners in these writ petitions are aggrieved from the abnormal delay in consideration of their applications for allotment of alternative plots under the scheme framed by the Government of India vide its letter dated 2nd May, 1961 for allotment of alternative plots to those whose agricultural land was acquired for planned development of Delhi.

Vide order dated 19th December, 2011 passed in W.P.(C) No. 6074/2011 and connected matters, this Court directed the respondents to expedite the whole process and dispose of at least 100 applications in a fortnight which comes to 200 applications in a month.

Vide order dated 12th April, 2013 passed by this Court, it was directed that the Committee constituted to make recommendations for allotment of alternative plots would meet at least for half a day on every alternate working day and the cause list for the said Committee would have at least 25 matters. CM No. 7007/2013 has been filed in W.P. (C) No. 5944/2010 by the Government of India seeking modification of the aforesaid order.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considered all the facts and circumstances including, the huge backlog of the applications seeking allotment of alternative plots, it is directed that the Committee constituted to consider the applications for allotment of alternative plots will consider at least 250 applications in a month though the effort of the Committee shall be to consider as many applications as may be possible so as to liquidate the pendency at the earliest possible.

It is also directed that the number of Officers/officials engaged exclusively in scrutinizing applications for allotment of alternative plots shall not be reduced by the Government, so long as the pendency does not come to a comfortable level or say 1000 applications.

It is made clear that this order will not come in the way for Government transferring these Officers/officials so long as they are replaced by other Officers/officials.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in terms of aforesaid directions.

It is also directed that a compliance report giving the number of applications considered by the said Committee in a month shall be filed by the Government by way of an affidavit of the concerned Officer by the 15th of each succeeding month. It is also made clear that any disobedience of these directions will render the Officer(s) concerned liable to action for disobeying the order of the Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti under signatures of Court Master.

V.K. JAIN, J

JULY 31, 2013/sd