

33 to 37.

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 6346/2012 & CM 16936/2012

CH. MATU RAM COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Petitioner
Through: Mr.Sanjay Sharawat, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION AND ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Mr. Mayank
Manish, Advocates for N.C.T.E.

+ W.P.(C) 6369/2012 & CM 16994/2012

ST PAULS COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION AND ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Mr. Mayank
Manish, Advocates for N.C.T.E.

+ W.P.(C) 6377/2012

RANI LUXMI BAI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION AND ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Mr. Mayank
Manish, Advocates for N.C.T.E.

+ W.P.(C) 6378/2012

NARAYAN COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Petitioner
Through: Mr.Sanjay Sharawat, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION AND ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Mr. Mayank
Manish, Advocates for N.C.T.E.

+ W.P.(C) 6379/2012

ADARSH COLLEGE OF ELDUCTION Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Advocate.

versus

Signature Not Verified NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER

EDUCATION AND ANR

Through:

..... Respondents
Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Mr. Mayank
Manish, Advocates for N.C.T.E.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

ORDER


18.12.2012

%

1. Petitioners have filed the present writ petitions seeking quashing of the impugned order dated 15.2.2012 passed by National Council for Teachers Education to the extent it directs respondent no.2 to obtain recommendations of the State Government before processing the application of the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the Public Notice dated 26.11.2012, a copy of which has been handed over in Court today, he has instructions not to press the present writ petitions and applications. Counsel further submits that the Appeal Committee has passed an order in favour of the petitioner on 15.2.2012 and, thus, the Regional Committee should comply with the same while taking into consideration the public notice dated 26.11.2012.
3. Accordingly, writ petition and applications stand dismissed as not pressed. In case the petitioners make a request to the Regional Committee, the Regional Committee shall consider the request of the petitioners in the light of the Public Notice dated 26.11.2012.
4. DASTI to parties.

DECEMBER 18, 2012

msr


G.S.SISTANI, J