

\$~2-4

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 6336/2012

ANIL KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.S.K. Sinha & Ms Seema Kashyap,
Advs..

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER
OF POLICE AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Nitin Saluja, Adv. for Mr. Nazimi
Waziri, Standing Counsel R-1 to 3.
Mr Mukesh Gupta, Adv. for R-4/MCD.

+ W.P.(C) 6337/2012

VIJAY KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.S.K. Sinha & Ms Seema Kashyap,
Advs..

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER
OF POLICE AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Nitin Saluja, Adv. for Mr. Nazimi
Waziri, Standing Counsel R-1 to 3.
Mr Mukesh Gupta, Adv. for R-4/MCD.

AND

+ W.P.(C) 6338/2012

BAL KISHAN SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.S.K. Sinha & Ms Seema Kashyap,
Advs..

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER
OF POLICE AND ORS

..... Respondents

WP(C) Nos. 6336/2012, 6337/2012 & 6338/2012

Page 1 of 3

Through: Mr Nitin Saluja, Adv. for Mr. Nazimi
Waziri, Standing Counsel R-1 to 3.
Mr Mukesh Gupta, Adv. for R-4/MCD.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

10.10.2012

In the captioned petitions notice was issued on 03.10.2012. It was recorded on that day that the petitioners are in the business of selling crackers, for which purpose they require a temporary tehbazari license. The petitioners have, undoubtedly, approached respondent no. 4 in that regard and made a representation qua grant of a tehbazari license, dated 24.08.2012. It is the petitioners' case that the fate of this representation will determine as to whether or not they get the requisite permissions from the Delhi Police and the Fire Department.


Mr Mukesh Gupta has put in an appearance on behalf of respondent no. 4. He says that he has instructions to state that the representation dated 24.08.2012 will be disposed of within two days from today by way of a speaking order. He further submits that the petitioners will be called for a personal hearing.

The petitioners are directed to appear before Mr S.D. Sharma, Assistant Commissioner (Central) today at 4.00 p.m. The order passed will be communicated to the petitioner. While considering the representation of the petitioners, the concerned officer will bear in mind the order of the court dated 31.08.2012 passed in WP(C) No. 5085/2012 titled Rajeev Kumar Gupta & Ors. vs Dy. Commissioner, MCD And Anr.

With the aforesaid observations in place, learned counsel for the petitioners says that he does not wish to press the captioned petitions.

The writ petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.

Dasti under the signatures of the court master.



RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

OCTOBER 10, 2012

kk

— Fresh CN No. 18222/120/S108 C-P C